Why so much fuss over equality?

Cyril Cohen

Programming Logic cyrilc@chalmers.se

September 10, 2013

Cyril Cohen (Proglog)

Why so much fuss over equality?

▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ 少へで September 10, 2013 1 / 18

Equalities on natural numbers

$$2+2=4$$

$$\forall n, n+n=2n$$

$$\forall n, \exists m, \exists (p_i)_{i < m} \text{ primes}, n = \prod_i p_i$$

Cyril Cohen (Proglog)

Why so much fuss over equality?

September 10, 2013 2 / 18

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Equalities on natural numbers

$$2+2=4$$

$$\forall n, n+n=2n$$

$$\forall n, \exists m, \exists (p_i)_{i < m} \text{ primes}, n = \prod_i p_i$$

Everyone should agree at least on these.

Equalities on higher order objects?

• equality of functions

quicksort = bubble sort?

• equality of sets

$$\left\{\prod_i p_i^{k_i} | k \in \text{list } \mathbb{N}\right\} = \mathbb{N}$$

 $\{0,1\}=\{a,b\}\quad\text{when}\quad a\neq b?$

equality of groups

 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{\omega^k | \omega \text{ primitive } n^{\text{th}} \text{ root of unity} \}?$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

What is equality?

Axiom I (Axiom of extensionality) from Zermelo's 1908 Investigations in the foundation of set theory: "If every element of a set M is also an element of N and vice versa, [...] then always M = N".

Everything is defined from sets, in particular, equality of functions is equality of their graphs.

(人間) トイヨト イヨト ニヨ

Equalities on higher order objects?

• equality of functions

quicksort = bubble sort? Yes

• equality of sets

$$\left\{\prod_i p_i^{k_i} | k \in \text{list } \mathbb{N}\right\} = \mathbb{N} \quad \text{Yes}$$

 $\{0,1\} = \{a,b\}$ when $a \neq b$? No

equality of groups

 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{\omega^k | \omega \text{ primitive } n^{\text{th}} \text{ root of unity} \}$? No

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

In Type Theory

What is equality?

э

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト

In Type Theory

What is equality?

Leibniz equality:

Id
$$A \times y = \forall P : A \rightarrow *, P \times A \rightarrow P y$$

We also write x = y for Id $A \times y$.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Type Theory motto

Propositions are Types, Proofs are Programs

x = y is an identity **type**, any element of this type is a proof that x is equal to y.

Equalities on higher order objects?

• equality of functions

quicksort = bubble sort?

• equality of sets

$$\left\{\prod_i p_i^{k_i} | k \in \text{list } \mathbb{N}\right\} = \mathbb{N}$$

 $\{0,1\}=\{a,b\}\quad\text{when}\quad a\neq b?$

equality of groups

 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{\omega^k | \omega \text{ primitive } n^{\text{th}} \text{ root of unity} \}?$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Functional extensionality

Equality of functions?

quicksort = bubble sort?

Functional extensionality axiom:

$$(\forall x, f x = g x) \rightarrow f = g$$

Not provable in Type Theory \Rightarrow no explicit inhabitant of the above proposition.

Equalities on higher order objects?

- equality of functions quicksort = bubble sort? FunExt
- equality of sets

$$\left\{\prod_i p_i^{k_i} | k \in ext{list } \mathbb{N}
ight\} = \mathbb{N} \quad ext{FunExt?}$$

 $\{0,1\} = \{a,b\}$ when $a \neq b$? No

equality of groups

 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{\omega^k | \omega \text{ primitive } n^{\text{th}} \text{ root of unity} \}?$ No

10 / 18

Sum type of natural numbers with proofs they are even:

$$\Xi := \Sigma(n:\mathbb{N})(n \mod 2 = 0).$$

Equality on even numbers:

let $x = (m, p_m)$ and $y = (n, p_n)$ be elements of E, then x = y if:

- *m* = *n*
- $p_m = p_n$

Sum type of natural numbers with proofs they are even:

$$\mathsf{E} := \Sigma(n:\mathbb{N})(n \bmod 2 = 0).$$

Equality on even numbers:

let $x = (m, p_m)$ and $y = (n, p_n)$ be elements of E, then x = y if:

- *m* = *n*
- $p_m = p_n$

Problems!

Sum type of natural numbers with proofs they are even:

$$\Xi := \Sigma(n:\mathbb{N})(n \mod 2 = 0).$$

Equality on even numbers: let $x = (m, p_m)$ and $y = (n, p_n)$ be elements of E, then x = y if:

- *m* = *n*
- $p_m = p_n$

Problems!

• $p_m : (m \mod 2 = 0)$ and $p_n : (n \mod 2 = 0)$ don't have the same type!

Sum type of natural numbers with proofs they are even:

$$\Xi := \Sigma(n:\mathbb{N})(n \mod 2 = 0).$$

Equality on even numbers: let $x = (m, p_m)$ and $y = (n, p_n)$ be elements of E, then x = y if:

- *m* = *n*
- $p_m = p_n$

Problems!

- $p_m : (m \mod 2 = 0)$ and $p_n : (n \mod 2 = 0)$ don't have the same type!
- Are p_m and p_n equal?

Cyril Cohen (Proglog)

3

Sum type of natural numbers with proofs they are even:

$$\Xi := \Sigma(n:\mathbb{N})(n \mod 2 = 0).$$

Equality on even numbers: let $x = (m, p_m)$ and $y = (n, p_n)$ be elements of E, then x = y if:

- *m* = *n*
- $p_m = p_n$

Problems!

- $p_m : (m \mod 2 = 0)$ and $p_n : (n \mod 2 = 0)$ don't have the same type!
- Are p_m and p_n equal?

Cyril Cohen (Proglog)

3

UIP and Proof Irrelevance

Uniqueness of Identify Proofs axiom:

$$\forall (X:*)(a \ b:X)(p \ q:a=b), p=q$$

Proof Irrelevance axiom:

$$\forall (A : \operatorname{Prop})(p \ q : A), p = q$$

- What is Prop?
- Is the identity type in Prop?

Axioms we have seen so far

• Functional extentionality

$$(\forall x, f x = g x) \rightarrow f = g$$

• Proof Irrelevance

$$\forall (A: \operatorname{Prop})(p \ q: A), p = q$$

• Uniqueness of Identity Proofs

$$\forall (X:*)(a \ b:X)(p \ q:a=b), p=q$$

Homotopy Type Theory

Types as Spaces, Equalities as Paths.

- HContr is the type of types that have exactly one element.
- types in HProp satisfy proof irrelevance

$$\operatorname{HProp} := \Sigma(P:*)(\forall (p \ q:P), isHContr(p=q))$$

• types in HSet have uniqueness of identity proofs.

$$\mathrm{HSet} := \Sigma(X:*)(\forall (a \ b:X), is HProp(a = b)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

The Univalence Axiom

The Univalence Axiom (V. Voevodsky) makes Type Theory behave well with regard to these new notions. In particular,

- Functional extensionality is derivable,
- HProp and HSet are stable by product $(A \rightarrow B)$,
- equalities are not always propositions,
- equality is isomorphism (T. Coquand and N. A. Danielsson). E.g.:

$$\{0,1\} = \{a,b\}$$
 when $a \neq b$?

 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{\omega^k | \omega \text{ primitive } n^{\text{th}} \text{ root of unity} \},\$

. . .

15 / 18

A B A B A B A B A B A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

Computational content

Active research topic:

find a system in which univalence is not an axiom but a *program*.

Cyril Cohen (Proglog)

Why so much fuss over equality?

September 10, 2013 16 / 18

Other problems

If a : A and A = B, is a : B?

True in Extensional Type Theory (don't confuse with functional extensionality),

but strange in Homotopy Type Theory: no unique way to see elements of *A* as elements of *B*.

Other problems

If a : A and A = B, is a : B? If a : A m and m = n, is a : A n?

True in Extensional Type Theory (don't confuse with functional extensionality),

but strange in Homotopy Type Theory: no unique way to see elements of *A* as elements of *B*.

Thank you for your attention.

Cyril Cohen (Proglog)

Why so much fuss over equality?

4 E b September 10, 2013 18 / 18

э