Remark 1. These solutions are there to help. Almost every problem is corrected, with many details for most of them. Some solutions are really short because the question is not hard nor tricky.

Some computations are abbreviated with ..., of course if you solve it yourself (to practice, or during an exam), you have to do every step!

Sometimes, it might not be specify in which set x or y are taken, it is usually \mathbb{R} .

For this sheet, some problems are not (yet) corrected because they really are harder than we thought they will be. Any suggestion is welcome!

1 Solutions for "Exercises to do before tutorials".

1. Let f and g be defined by $f: x \mapsto \ln\left(x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}\right)$ and $g: x \mapsto \ln\left(\sqrt{1 + x^2} - x\right)$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sqrt{1+x^2} > |x|$ so $x + \sqrt{1+x^2} > 0$ and $\sqrt{1+x^2} - x > 0$. f and g are defined, continuous and differentiable on \mathbb{R} .

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $f'(x) = \left(1 + \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}\right) \frac{1}{x+\sqrt{1+x^2}} = \cdots = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} > 0$. Then f' > 0 everywhere implies that f is increasing on \mathbb{R} .

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we use the usual trick of conjugate quantities $g(x) = \ln(\sqrt{1+x^2}-x) = \ln\left(\frac{(1+x^2)-x^2}{\sqrt{1+x^2}+x}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}+x}\right)$

$$\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}+x}\right) = -\ln(\sqrt{1+x^2}+x) = -f(x)$$
 as wanted.

- For any x, $\sinh(f(x)) = \frac{e^{f(x)} e^{-f(x)}}{2}$, but -f = g so $\sinh(f(x)) = \frac{e^{f(x)} e^{g(x)}}{2}$ and therefore $\sinh(f(x)) = \frac{(x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}) - (-x + \sqrt{1 + x^2})}{2} = x$ so $\underline{\sinh(f(x))} = x$.
- Conversely, (with patience) one can prove that $f(\sinh(x)) = x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore, we recognize f: it is the **inverse hyperbolic sine**, i.e. the inverse function of the function $\sinh : x \mapsto \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}$ called hyperbolic sine.

Remark 2. For a more complete study of f, also known as inverse hyperbolic sine, please read this Wikipédia page: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inverse_hyperbolic_sine.

2. Find the domain of definition, domain of continuity and domain of derivability of the following functions, and then compute their derivatives:

(1) For
$$f_1: x \mapsto \ln\left(\frac{1-x}{1+x}\right)$$

• $f_1(x)$ is defined iff $1 + x \neq 0$ and $\frac{1-x}{1+x} > 0$, so iff $x \in (-1,1)$. So $D_{f_1} = (-1,1)$, on which f_1 is clearly continuous and differentiable.

¹For
$$a \neq b, a + b = \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a - b}$$
 and if $a \neq -b, a - b = \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a + b}$.

- On this domain, $f'_1(x) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{1-x}{1+x}\right)\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1-x}{1+x}}\right) = \dots = \frac{-2x}{1-x^2}.$
- (2) For $f_2: x \mapsto \ln\left(\frac{x^2 4x + 4}{-x^2 x + 6}\right)$.
 - $f_2(x)$ is defined $iff x^2 x + 6 \neq 0$, and $\left(\frac{x^2 4x + 4}{-x^2 x + 6}\right) > 0$. By solving these two quadratic equations in x, we find that $x^2 4x 4 = (x 2)^2$ and $-x^2 x + 6 = -(x + 3)(x 2)$, so $f_2(x)$ is defined $iff x \in (-3, 2)$. So $D_{f_2} = (-3, 2)$, on which f_1 is well continuous and differentiable.
 - well continuous and differentiable. • On this domain, $f'_2(x) = \cdots = \frac{2}{x-2} + \frac{2x+1}{-x^2-x+6}$.
- (3) For $f_3: x \mapsto \ln(\cos(x))$.
 - $f_3(x)$ is defined *iff* $\cos(x) > 0$ so *iff* there is a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x 2k\pi \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. So $D_{f_3} = \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, on which f_3 is indeed continuous and differentiable.
 - On this domain, $f'_3(x) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} (\cos(x)) \frac{1}{\cos(x)} = -\tan(x).$
 - <u>Remark</u>: this result proved that a *primitive* of tan is the function $(-\pi/2, \pi/2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto -\ln(|\cos(x)|) + C$ (for any $C \in \mathbb{R}$).
- (4) For $f_4: x \mapsto e^{\frac{1}{1-x}}$.
 - $f_4(x)$ is defined iff $1 x \neq 0$. So $D_{f_4} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$, on which f_4 is clearly continuous and differentiable.
 - On this domain, $f'_4(x) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)\right) \times \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{1-x}} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{1-x}}}{(1-x)^2}.$

(5) For $f_5: x \mapsto \sin(x)^{\cos(x)}$.

- $f_5(x)$ is defined *iff* $\sin(x) > 0$. And then, $f_5(x) = \exp(\cos(x)\ln(\sin(x)))$. But $\sin(x) > 0$ *iff* $x \mod 2\pi \in (0,\pi)$. So $D_{f_5} = (0,\pi) + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, on which f_5 is indeed continuous and differentiable.
- On this domain, $f'_5(x) = \left(-\sin(x)\ln(\sin(x)) + \cos(x)\frac{\cos(x)}{\sin(x)}\right)\exp(\cos(x)\ln(\sin(x))).$
- You can try to simplify it more if you want!
- (6) For $f_6: x \mapsto x^{(x^x)}$.
 - $f_6(x)$ is defined *iff* x > 0. So $D_{f_6} = (0, +\infty)$, on which f_6 is continuous and differentiable. Additionally, $f_6(x) = \exp(x^x \ln(x)) = \exp(\ln(x) \exp(x \ln(x)))$.
 - On this domain, $f'_6(x) = x^x \left(\frac{1}{x} + \ln(x)(\ln(x) + 1)\right) x^{(x^x)}$.

3. Find² the following limit: $\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{(x^x)^x}{x^{(x^x)}}$.

Remember that for any $a, b > 0, a^b = \exp(b\ln(a))$. Therefore, for $x > 0, x^x = \exp(x\ln(x))$. And $x^{(x^x)} = \exp(x^x\ln(x)) = \exp(\exp(x\ln(x))\ln(x))$, and $(x^x)^x = \exp(x\ln(x^x)) = \exp(x^2\ln(x))$. So, for x > 0, the fraction is $\frac{(x^x)^x}{x^{(x^x)}} = \frac{\exp(x^2\ln(x))}{\exp(x^x\ln(x))} = \exp\left(\ln(x)\left(x^2 - x^x\right)\right) = \frac{\exp\left(\ln(x)x^2\left(1 - x^{x-2}\right)\right)}{\exp\left(x^2\ln(x)\right)}$. But now, observe these three limits: $\ln(x) \xrightarrow[x \to +\infty]{} +\infty$, $x^2 \xrightarrow[x \to +\infty]{} +\infty$, and $(1 - x^{x-2}) \xrightarrow[x \to +\infty]{} -\infty$. And we know that $\exp(y) \xrightarrow[y \to -\infty]{} 0$, so by composition and product we conclude that

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{(x^x)^x}{x^{(x^x)}} = 0.$$

Remark 3. Numerically, if we want to compare $x^{(x^x)}$ and $(x^x)^x$, we can compute their values for some values of x:

<i>x</i>	$(x^x)^x$	$x^{(x^x)}$
0	1	0
1	1	1
2	16	16
3	19683	$7.6256e^{+12}$
3.4	$1.39282e^{+06}$	$1.20548e^{+34}$
3.6	$1.62062e^{+07}$	$9.45719 \mathrm{e}^{+55}$
3.8	$2.35546 e^{+08}$	$3.66703e^{+92}$
4	$4.29497 e^{+09}$	$1.34078e^{+154}$
4.2	$9.86546e^{+10}$	$2.56862 e^{+258}$

After 4.2, the calculator used for computing this (the Python³ v2.7.6 toplevel), stopped and yelled at me with a "**OverflowError**": $x^{(x^x)}$ becomes **too big**.

With these values, it is clear that the denominator $x^{(x^x)}$ is growing way faster than the numerator $(x^x)^x$.

4. For
$$x \in \mathbb{R}$$
 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, compute⁴ the next two sums: $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \cosh(kx), \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \sinh(kx).$

By definition⁵, $\cosh(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}$ and $\sinh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}$. Therefore, if $C_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \cosh(kx)$, we can directly write that $\cosh(kx) = \frac{e^{kx} + e^{-kx}}{2}$, and so:

$$C_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{e^{kx} + e^{-kx}}{2} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{e^{kx}}{2} + \frac{e^{-kx}}{2}\right)$$

³This is the programming language we will use for CS 101 on the second semester! Go take a look to https://www.python.org/about/ if you are curious about it!

⁵You can remember these definitions with this little trick: for any function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}$ is the decomposition of f into an **even** part $x \mapsto \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}$ and an **odd** part $x \mapsto \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}$. Well then cosh is the even part of exp (easy to remember, because cos itself is even), and sinh is the odd part of exp (sin is odd).

$$C_{n}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{n}{k}} \left((e^{x})^{k} + (e^{-x})^{k} \right)$$

So by using associativity, we can write this as:

$$C_n(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\mathbf{e}^x)^k\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\mathbf{e}^{-x})^k\right)$$

And then by two applications of the **binomial theorem**, in the form $(1 + a)^n$ with $a = e^x$ and $a = e^{-x}$:

$$C_n(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + e^x)^n\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-x})^n\right)$$

Similarly, one can compute that

$$S_n(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + e^x)^n\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-x})^n\right).$$

Remark 4. One other method, simpler but longer, is to compute $C_n(x) + S_n(x) = \cdots = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^x)^n$ and then $C_n(x) - S_n(x) = \cdots = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^x)^n$, and finally solve this simple system of two equations and two unknowns.

Remark 5. We can also write $C_n(x)$ as $\frac{1}{2} \cosh^n\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{nx}{2}\right)$. And $S_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cosh^n\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{nx}{2}\right)$

5. Show that, if $\alpha > 0$, then $\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{\ln(x)}{x^{\alpha}} = -\infty$. Bonus: also prove that $\lim_{x \to 0^+} \ln(x) \cdot x^{\alpha} = 0$.

Let $\alpha > 0$. For $x \to 0^+$, $\ln(x) \underset{x \to 0^+}{\longrightarrow} = -\infty$ on one hand; and $x^{\alpha} \underset{x \to 0^+}{\longrightarrow} = 0^+$, so $\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}} \underset{x \to 0^+}{\longrightarrow} = +\infty$ on the other hand. So by the product rule, $\frac{\ln(x)}{x^{\alpha}} \underset{x \to 0^+}{\longrightarrow} (-\infty)(+\infty) = -\infty$ as wanted (there is no trick here, just simply computing the limit as a product).

Conversely, for the bonus limit, we can write $\ln(x).x^{\alpha} = -\alpha \frac{\ln(y)}{y}$ for $y = x^{-\alpha}$. But when $x \to 0^+, y \to +\infty$, and by one use⁶ of L'Hôpital rule, we know that $\frac{\ln(y)}{y} \to 0$ when $y \to +\infty$.

6. By the method you like, determine the following limits:

⁶Indeed, both
$$\ln(y)$$
 and y go to $+\infty$, but $\frac{d}{dy}(\ln(y)) = \frac{1}{y}$ and $\frac{d}{dy}(y) = 1$, and $\frac{\frac{1}{y}}{1} = \frac{1}{y} \to 0$ when $y \to +\infty$...

(a)
$$\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\ln(1+x)}{x} = 1, \text{ by L'Hôpital rule,}$$
(b)
$$\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{a^x - 1}{x} = \ln(a) \text{ again by L'Hôpital rule (here $a > 0$),
(c)
$$\lim_{x\to -\infty} \frac{(1+x)^\alpha - 1}{x} = \alpha \text{ also with L'Hôpital rule (here, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$).
(d)
$$\lim_{x\to +\infty} (\ln(x))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = 1 \text{ because } (\ln(x))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \exp\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(x))}{x}\right) \text{ and } \ln(\ln(x))/x \to 0 \text{ for } x \to +\infty,$$
(e)
$$\lim_{x\to 0} (\cos(x))^{\frac{1}{\sin^2(x)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}.$$
First $(\cos(x))^{\frac{1}{\sin^2(x)}} = \exp\left(\frac{\ln(\cos(x))}{\sin^2(x)}\right)$. But we also know that $\cos(x) + \frac{x^2}{2} \to 1$ for $x \to 0$, and $\ln(1+y)/y \to 1$ for $y \to 0$.
So $\ln(\cos(x)) \simeq -\frac{x^2}{2}$ for $x \to 0$ and $\sin^2(x) \simeq x^2$ at 0. So $\frac{\ln(\cos(x))}{\sin^2(x)} \to -\frac{1}{2}$, and so $(\cos(x))^{\frac{1}{\sin^2(x)}} \simeq \exp(-1/2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$ which is the limit.
(f) $\lim_{x\to 0^+} (\sin(x))^{\frac{1}{\ln(x)}} = e.$
Similarly, we write $(\sin(x))^{\frac{1}{\ln(x)}} = \exp(\frac{\ln(\sin(x))}{\ln(x)})$. But $\sin(x) \simeq x$ for $x \to 0^+$, so $\ln(\sin(x))$ will behave like $\ln(x)$, therefore, $\frac{\ln(\sin(x))}{\ln(x)} \to 1$ at 0^+ (ie, for $x \to 0^+$). And $\exp(1) = e.$
(g) $\lim_{x\to 0^+} x^{\sin(x)} = 1.$
We write $x^{\sin(x)} \Rightarrow \exp(\ln(x)\sin(x)$. At 0^+ , we know that $x\ln(x) \to 0$ and $\frac{\sin(x)}{x} \to 1$, so $\ln(x)\sin(x) \to 0$, and so $\exp(\ln(x)\sin(x)) \to \exp(0) = 1$.
(h) $\lim_{x\to +\infty} (e^x - 1)^{\frac{1}{x}} = e.$
As previously, we write $(e^x - 1)^{\frac{1}{x}} = \exp\left(\frac{\ln(e^x - 1)}{x}\right)$. But we know that $\ln(e^x - 1) = x + \ln(1 - e^{-x})$, so $\frac{\ln(e^x - 1)}{x} = 1 + \frac{\ln(1 - e^{-x})}{x}$.
For $x \to +\infty$, $1 - e^{-x} \to 1$, so $\ln(1 - e^{-x}) \to 0$, and so $\frac{\ln(1 - e^{-x})}{x} \to 0$.
Therefore, $\exp\left(\frac{\ln(e^x - 1)}{x}\right) \to \exp(1) = e.$$$$$

7. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$, by using a simple convexity inequality with the correct function⁷, show $x \ln(x) + y \ln(y) \ge (x+y) \ln\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$

One thing we can say is that $g: x \mapsto x \ln(x)$ is convex. Indeed, g is twice differentiable on \mathbb{R}^*_+ , and $g'(x) = \ln(x) + 1$ so $g''(x) = \frac{1}{x} > 0$. And then, we just write the convexity inequality, with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$: $g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y)$. But $g(x) = x \ln(x)$ and $(1 - \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}$, so we indeed have $\frac{(x + y)}{2} \ln\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{x}{2} \ln(x) + \frac{y}{2} \ln(y)$, so $(x + y) \ln\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) \leq x \ln(x) + y \ln(y)$, which is exactly what we wanted.

2 Solutions for "Exercises to do during or after tutorials".

1. Solve, on the appropriate set, the following equation: $\ln |x+2| + \ln 3 \leq \ln |3x-4|$.

We know that $\ln(|x+2|) + \ln(3) = \ln(3|x+2|)$, so: $\ln |x+2| + \ln 3 \le \ln |3x-4|$ *iff* $\ln(3|x+2|) \le \ln(|3x-4|)$, but ln is increasing, so $\ln |x+2| + \ln 3 \le \ln |3x-4|$ *iff* $3|x+2| \le |3x-4|$ *iff* ... *iff* $x \le \frac{-1}{3}$ (by plotting the two graphs, or just solving it in details).

Finally, $\ln |x+2| + \ln 3 \le \ln |3x-4|$ iff $x \le \frac{-1}{3}$.

- 2. Precise the values (for x) when the following expressions have a sense, and try to simplify them as much as possible:
 - (1) Let x > 1. For $x^{\frac{\ln(\ln(x))}{\ln(x)}}$, we remember that $a^b = \exp(b\ln(a))$ for a, b > 0. Here, $x^{\frac{\ln(\ln(x))}{\ln(x)}} = \exp\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(x))}{\ln(x)}\ln(x)\right) = \exp\left(\ln(\ln(x))\right) = \ln(x)$.
 - (2) Again, let x > 1. For the second one, $\ln_x(\ln_x(x^{(x^x)}))$, we remember that \ln_x is the *x*-based logarithm. So $\ln_x(\ln_x(x^{(x^x)})) = \ln_x(x^x) = x$, directly!
- 3. Decide which of the two numbers is greater:

For this kind of problem, there is no magical recipe, just try to use what you know. Any simpler solution which use less additional facts is welcome.

- (a) For e^{π} or π^{e} : We know that $\pi^{e} = e^{e \ln(\pi)}$. But $e \simeq 2.7182$ and $\pi < 3.1416$, so $\ln(\pi) < \ln(3.1416) \simeq 1.15$, so $e \ln(\pi) < 3.11 < \pi$. So $\pi^{e} < e^{\pi}$, which can be verified numerically with a calculator.
- (b) For $2^{\sqrt{2}}$ or e: We know that $2^{\sqrt{2}} = e^{\sqrt{2}\ln(2)}$. But $\ln(2) < 0.7$ and $\sqrt{2} < 1.42$, so $\sqrt{2}\ln(2) < 0.993 < 1$. Therefore, $e^{\sqrt{2}\ln(2)} < e^{0.993} < e^1 = e$.
 - Finally, $2^{\sqrt{2}} < e$, which can be verified numerically with a calculator.
- (c) For $\ln(8)$ or 2. Well, $\ln(8) = \ln(2^3) = 3\ln(2) > 3 * 0.68 = 2.04 > 2$, so $\ln(8) > 2$.

Remark 6. To be more rigorous, one should (be able to) **prove** the facts that have been used : $\ln(2) \simeq 0.693147$, $\sqrt{2} \simeq 1.414213$, $e \simeq 2.718281$ etc. More details on these ideas can be found in the footnotes and references of a page like this one:

 $\tt https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/E_(mathematical_constant) \# Known_digits.$

 $x \in (-1, 1)).$

- 4. Compute the derivatives of the following functions, of course you will not forget to precise their domains:
 - (1) $f_1: x \mapsto \arccos(x-1)$, is defined on [0,2], because arccos is defined and continuous on [-1,1]. And $f'_1(x) = \arccos'(x-1) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-(x-1)^2}} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2x-x^2}}$ on (0,2), because arccos is differentiable on (-1,1) (and we check indeed that this expression of f'_1 is valid only for
 - (2) For $f_2: x \mapsto \arctan |x|$, we know that $\arctan is defined and continuous on <math>\mathbb{R}$, so f_2 is also defined and continuous on \mathbb{R} . And f_2 is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. On this domain⁸, $f'_2(x) = \frac{|x|}{x} \frac{1}{1+(|x|)^2}$, so $f'_2(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$ if x > 0 and $f'_2(x) = \frac{-1}{1+x^2}$ if x < 0.
 - (3) For $f_3: x \mapsto \operatorname{arcsinh}(\sqrt{x^2 1})$, we know that $\operatorname{arcsinh}$ is defined and continuous on \mathbb{R} (because sinh is a bijection from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}), and so $f_3(x)$ is defined iff $x^2 - 1 \ge 0$ iff $|x| \ge 1$ iff $x \in (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, \infty)$. And f_3 is differentiable on this domain, except when the square root is 0, ie |x| = 1, so f_3 is differentiable on $(-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$. There, $f'_3(x) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(\sqrt{x^2 - 1})\right) \operatorname{arcsinh}'(\sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\sqrt{x^2 - 1})^2}}, \text{ so } f'_3(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} \times \frac{1}{|x|} = \frac{x}{|x|\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}.$ Remark: for x > 1, $h(x) = \operatorname{arccosh}(x)$ in fact, thanks to the classic identity $\operatorname{cosh}^2(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}$.

<u>Remark</u>: for x > 1, $h(x) = \operatorname{arccosh}(x)$ in fact, thanks to the classic identity $\operatorname{cosh}^2(x) - \operatorname{sinh}^2(x) = 1$ true for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

5. (Hard) For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a \neq b$, by using the concavity of $\tanh : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \tanh x = \frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1}$, show that

$$\frac{\mathbf{e}^b - \mathbf{e}^a}{b-a} < \frac{\mathbf{e}^b + \mathbf{e}^a}{2}.$$

Assume a < b (if b < a, we can just swap a and b). Then lets solve this inequality, with patience:

$$\frac{\mathbf{e}^{b} - \mathbf{e}^{a}}{b - a} < \frac{\mathbf{e}^{b} + \mathbf{e}^{a}}{2} \iff \mathbf{e}^{b} - \mathbf{e}^{a} < \frac{b - a}{2} \left(\mathbf{e}^{b} + \mathbf{e}^{a}\right)$$
$$\iff \mathbf{e}^{b - a} - 1 < \frac{b - a}{2} \left(\mathbf{e}^{b - a} + 1\right)$$

⁸Note that $\frac{|x|}{x}$ is usually written sign(x), the sign function (-1 if x < 0, +1 if x > 0).

By dividing by e^a on both sides, because $e^a \neq 0$. So we have:

$$\frac{e^{b} - e^{a}}{b - a} < \frac{e^{b} + e^{a}}{2} \iff \frac{e^{b - a} - 1}{e^{b - a} + 1} < \frac{b - a}{2}$$

$$\iff \frac{e^{x} - 1}{e^{x} + 1} < \frac{x}{2} \text{ if we define } x = b - a > 0$$

$$\iff \left(\frac{e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{e^{x/2} + e^{-x/2}}\right) < \frac{x}{2}$$

$$\iff \frac{\sinh(x/2)}{\cosh(x/2)} < \frac{x}{2}$$
So $\frac{e^{b} - e^{a}}{b - a} < \frac{e^{b} + e^{a}}{2} \iff \tanh(x/2) < \frac{x}{2}$ with $x = b - a > 0$.

But tanh(t) < t for all t > 0, as we will prove in details here:

Indeed, \tanh is twice differentiable, and for t > 0, we have $\tanh(t) < 1$, $\tanh'(t) = 1 - \tanh^2(t) > 0$ and $\tanh''(t) = -2 \tanh'(t) \tanh(t) < 0$. And one property we know tells us that $\tanh'' < 0$ implies that \tanh is (strictly) concave on $[0, +\infty)$. So graphically, the graph of \tanh on $[0, +\infty)$ will be smaller than any of its tangent

(this is also one property of the concavity).

But the tangent to this graph, at t = 0, is exactly the line y = x, so if the graph is smaller than its tangent, we conclude that tanh(t) < t.

<u>Remark</u>: this proof is the same as the one we did to show that sin(x) < x for any x > 0.

Finally, we indeed have tanh(x/2) < x/2 for any x > 0, so the initial inequality is always true, if a < b.

And this inequality is **symmetric** in a and b, so proving it for a < b is enough.

Conclusion: so we have, as wanted, $\frac{e^b - e^a}{b - a} < \frac{e^b + e^a}{2}$, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \neq b$.

Remark 7. Another method, using only the strict convexity of exp, is given here, thanks to Prov. Vijaysekhar.

Without loss of generality, let a < b and let $x \in (a, b)$. Now, define $\lambda \equiv \frac{x-a}{b-a}$ and note that $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Since the exponential is a strict convex function it follows that $e^{(\lambda b+(1-\lambda)a)} < \lambda e^b + (1-\lambda)e^a$, or, equivalently (substituting for λ), $e^x < f(x)$, where $f(x) = \left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)e^b + \left(\frac{b-x}{b-a}\right)e^a$. Since, $x \in (a, b)$ it follows that $f(x) - e^x > 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$. Hence,

$$\int_{a}^{b} (f(x) - e^{x}) dx > 0.$$
(2.1)

Now, note that $\int_a^b f(x) dx = (b-a)(e^a + e^b)/2$ and $\int_a^b e^x dx = e^b - e^a$, and hence, (2.1) implies that $(b-a)(e^a + e^b)/2 > e^b - e^a$, yielding the desired result.

- 6. We say that $f : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is subadditive⁹ if, for any $x_1, x_2 \in (0, +\infty)$, f satisfies $f(x_1 + x_2) \leq f(x_1) + f(x_2)$. Prove that:
 - (a) Assume that if $x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{x}$ is decreasing on $(0, +\infty)$, then lets prove that f is subadditive on $[0, +\infty)$. Let $x_1 \leq x_2 \in [0, +\infty)$. First, assume that $x_1 \neq 0$. We first have $\frac{f(x_1 + x_2)}{x_1 + x_2} \leq \frac{f(x_2)}{x_2}$ because $x_1 + x_2 \geq x_2$ and the assumption on the variation of $x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{x}$. So $f(x_1 + x_2) \leq \left(1 + \frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) f(x_2)$. But $f(x_2) \leq \frac{x_2}{x_1} f(x_1)$ again because $x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{x}$ is decreasing, and $x_1 \leq x_2$ by assumption. So $\left(1 + \frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) f(x_2) \leq f(x_2) + f(x_1)$. We can then conclude that $f(x_1 + x_2) \leq f(x_1) + f(x_2)$. And now if $x_1 = 0$, f(0) is finite, so necessarily $\frac{f(x)}{x} \xrightarrow[x \to 0]{} \pm\infty$, but this limit has to be positive because that function $x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{x}$ is decreasing. So in fact f(x) needs to converges to a positive value when $x \to 0$, so $f(0) \geq 0$, hence $f(0) \leq f(0) + f(0)$, and so finally we have $f(0 + x_2) \leq f(0) + f(x_2)$, as wanted. And x_1, x_2 are any number in $[0, +\infty)$, with just the condition $x_1 \leq x_2$. Obviously, if we swap them, we still have the same result, so we conclude that f is indeed subadditive.
 - (b) Assume that f is convex and subadditive¹⁰ on $[0, +\infty)$, then we have to prove that $x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{x}$ is a decreasing function (on that interval $[0, +\infty)$).

I have not been able to do it, any suggestion is welcome.

- (c) We give here two examples of f such that $x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{x}$ is decreasing on $[0, +\infty)$,
 - one with f being convex: f = 0 works well, any constant works also!
 - one with f not convex (harder): TODO.
- 7. Show that each of the equations $\sin(\cos(x)) = x$ and $\cos(\sin(x)) = x$ has *exactly* one root in $[0, \pi/2]$. Moreover, show that if x_1 and x_2 are the roots of the former and the latter equation, respectively, then $x_1 < x_2$.

Let $f(x) = \sin(\cos(x)) - x$ and $g(x) = \cos(\sin(x)) - x$ on $[0, \pi/2]$. Both are continuous and differentiable, and we have $f(0) = \sin(1) > 0$ and $f(\pi/2) = \sin(0) - \pi/2 = -\pi/2 < 0$.

So f is continuous on a closed interval, positive at the left limit of the interval (start value) and negative at the right limit of the interval (end value). By the intermediate value theorem, we can conclude that f has at least one root in $[0, \pi/2]$. That is a start, but it is not enough! But $f'(x) = -\sin(x)\cos(\cos(x)) - 1$, and $-1 < -\sin(x)\cos(\cos(x)) < 1$ on $[0, \pi/2]$, so -2 < f'(x) < 0, ie $f' \leq 0$ so f is (strictly) decreasing. Then¹¹, f is in fact a **bijection** (one-to-one

¹⁰The initial exercise asked to work on f defined only on $(0, +\infty)$, but it was not enough to prove this part. We need to be able to compute f(0), which satisfies $f(0) \leq f(0) + f(0)$, so ...

¹¹We use here the **theorem of the monotonic bijection** : if $f : [a, b] \to [\alpha, \beta]$ is continuous and monotonic (ie, either strictly increasing or decreasing) then it is a bijection of inverse $f^{-1} : [\alpha, \beta] \to [a, b]$ (this is a consequence of the Intermediate Value Theorem). Please go read about it on the Thomas Calculus book if you want more details about this (important) result.

and one-by-one) between $[0, \pi/2]$ and $[f(\pi/2), f(0)] = [-\pi/2, \sin(1)]$. So there is only one antecedent of 0, is only one solution of the equation $\sin(\cos(x)) = x$.

We can do the same for g: $g'(x) = -\cos(x)\sin(\sin(x)) - 1 < 0$ so g is decreasing, and g(0) = 1 > 0 and $g(\pi/2) = \cos(1) - \pi/2 < 0$ because $\cos(1) \le 1 < \pi/2$.

Let x_1 be the root of the first equation, and x_2 of the second equation.

TODO: to conclude.

3 Solutions for "Bonus exercises".

- 1. Show that the following functions f_i are *bijective* (one-to-one and one-by-one), on the correct sets, and try to find an expression for their inverse (f_i^{-1}) (precise their domain):
 - (1) $f_1: x \mapsto 3x 5$ is well defined on $D_{f_1} = \mathbb{R}$. For $x \in D_{f_1}, y \in \mathbb{R}, y = f_1(x)$ iff $x = \frac{y+5}{3}$.

So the function f_1 is indeed a bijection, from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} , of inverse $f_1^{-1}: x \mapsto \frac{x+5}{2}$.

- (2) $f_2: x \mapsto \sqrt{3} x$ is well defined on $D_{f_2} = \mathbb{R}$. For $x \in D_{f_2}, y \in \mathbb{R}, y = f_2(x)$ iff $y = \sqrt{3} - x$. So the function f_2 is indeed a bijection, from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} , of inverse itself $f_2^{-1} = f_2$.
- (3) $f_3: x \mapsto x^2 1$ is well defined on $D_{f_3} = \mathbb{R}_-$. For $x \in D_{f_3}, y \in \mathbb{R}, y = f_3(x)$ iff $y = x^2 - 1$ iff $x^2 = y + 1$ so $y \ge -1$, and so as $x \le 0$, we conclude that $y = f_3(x)$ iff $x = -\sqrt{y+1}$. So the function f_3 is indeed a bijection, from $[-1, +\infty)$ to \mathbb{R}_- , of inverse $f_3^{-1}: x \mapsto -\sqrt{x+1}$.
- (4) $f_4: x \mapsto \frac{1}{3x-2}$ is well defined on $D_{f_4} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\frac{2}{3}\right\}$. For $x \in D_{f_4}, y \in \mathbb{R}, y = f_4(x)$ iff $y = \frac{1}{3x-2}$ so $y \neq 0$, and so $y = f_4(x)$ iff $y \neq 0$ and $x = \left(\frac{1}{y} + 2\right)/3$.

So the function f_4 is indeed a bijection, from $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ to $\mathbb{R}\setminus\left\{\frac{2}{3}\right\}$, of inverse $f_4^{-1}: x \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{x}+2\right)/3$. (5) $f_5: x \mapsto \frac{3x+2}{2x-1}$ is well defined on $D_{f_5} = \mathbb{R}\setminus\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. For $x \in D_{f_5}, y \in \mathbb{R}, y = f_5(x)$ iff 3x + 2 = y(2x-1) iff $y \neq 2/3$ and $x = -\frac{2+y}{3-2y}$. So the function f_5 is indeed a bijection, from $\mathbb{R}\setminus\left\{\frac{2}{3}\right\}$ to $\mathbb{R}\setminus\left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\}$, of inverse $f_5^{-1}: x \mapsto -\frac{2+x}{3-2x}$. (6) $f_6: x \mapsto \ln(2e^x - 1)$ is well defined on $D_{f_6} = (-\ln(2), +\infty)$. For $x \in D_{f_6}, y \in \mathbb{R}, y = f_6(x)$ iff $2e^x - 1 = e^y$ iff $x = \ln(e^y + 1) - \ln(2)$.

For $x \in D_{f_6}, y \in \mathbb{R}, y = f_6(x)$ iff $2e^x - 1 = e^y$ iff $x = \ln(e^y + 1) - \ln(2)$. So the function f_6 is indeed a bijection, from $(-\ln(2), +\infty)$ to \mathbb{R} , of inverse $f_6^{-1} : x \mapsto \ln(e^x + 1) - \ln(2)$.

Please, report any issue to MA101@crans.org

- 2. Same functions as the previous exercise, but now study the derivability of the functions and their inverses, then compute their derivatives. You can also try to look for some particular points where the functions and their derivatives are canceled.
 - (1) $f_1: x \mapsto 3x 5$ is well differentiable on its domain $(D_{f_1} = \mathbb{R})$. For $x \in D_{f_1}, f_1'(x) = 3$. And for any $x \in \mathbb{R}, (f_1^{-1})'(x) = \frac{1}{3}$.
 - (2) $f_2: x \mapsto \sqrt{3} x$ is well differentiable on its domain $(D_{f_2} = \mathbb{R})$. For $x \in D_{f_2}, f_2'(x) = -1 = (f_2^{-1})'(x)$.
 - (3) $f_3: x \mapsto x^2 1$ is well differentiable on its domain $(D_{f_3} = \mathbb{R}_-)$. For $x \in D_{f_3}, f_3'(x) = 2x$. And for the inverse, for $x \ge -1$, we have $(f_3^{-1})'(x) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x+1}}$. (4) $f_4: x \mapsto \frac{1}{3x-2}$ is well differentiable on its domain $(D_{f_4} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\frac{2}{3}\right\})$. For $x \in D_{f_4}, f_4'(x) = \frac{-3}{(3x-2)^2}$. And for the inverse, for $x \ne 0$, we have $(f_4^{-1})'(x) = \frac{-1}{3x^2}$. (5) $f_5: x \mapsto \frac{3x+2}{2x-1}$ is well differentiable on its domain $(D_{f_5} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\})$. For $x \in D_{f_5}, f_5'(x) = \frac{-7}{(2x-1)^2}$. And for the inverse, for $x \ne 3/2$, we have $(f_5^{-1})'(x) = -\frac{7}{(2x-3)^2}$. (6) $f_6: x \mapsto \ln(2e^x - 1)$ is well differentiable on its domain $(D_{f_6} = (-\ln(2), +\infty))$.

For $x \in D_{f_6}, f_6'(x) = \frac{2e^x}{2e^x - 1}$. And for the inverse, for x > 0, we have $(f_6^{-1})'(x) = \frac{e^x}{e^x - 1}$.

3. Solve the equation $\sinh(x) = 3$, without using a calculator nor the formula expressing arcsinh as a logarithm.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sinh(x) = 3$ iff $e^x - e^{-x} = 6$ iff $e^{2x} - 6e^x - 1 = 0$ iff $X^6 - 6X - 1 = 0$ with $X = e^x$. We solve this quadratic equation in X, which has two solutions $X_{1,2} = 3 \pm \sqrt{10}$, but X > 0, so $x = \ln(X_2) = \ln(3 + \sqrt{10})$ is the only solution of the equation $\sinh(x) = 3$.

Remark 8. With the formula we know for arcsinh (inverse hyperbolic sine), we have $\sinh(x) = 3$ iff $x = \operatorname{arcsinh}(3) = \ln(3 + \sqrt{1 + 3^2}) = \ln(3 + \sqrt{10})$, as we found directly.

4. Solve¹² the equation
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sinh(2+kx) = 0$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sinh(2+kx)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If x = 0, $S_n(0) = (n+1)\sinh(2) \neq 0$ because n+1 > 0 and $\sinh(2) > 0$ ($\sinh(2) \simeq 3.6268$), so x = 0 is not a solution. Assume now that $x \neq 0$. $2S_n(x) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \exp(2+kx)\right) - \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \exp(-2-kx)\right)$. But $e^x \neq 1$ because $x \neq 0$. So $2S_n(x) = e^2 \left(\frac{1-e^{(n+1)x}}{1-e^x}\right) - e^{-2} \left(\frac{1-e^{-(n+1)x}}{1-e^{-x}}\right)$ by using twice the formula of the sum of a geometric progression. Hence $2S_n(x) = \left(\frac{1-e^{(n+1)x}}{1-e^x}\right) (e^{-2} - e^{-nx-2})$, and then we see that $S_n(x) = 0$ iff $e^{-2} - e^{-nx-2}$ so iff -2 = -nx - 2 iff $x = -\frac{4}{n}$. Finally: $S_n(x) = 0 \iff x = -\frac{4}{n}$.

5. For two numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$, they satisfies this system:

$$\operatorname{arcsinh}(x) = 2 \operatorname{arcsinh}(y)$$

 $3\ln(x) = 2\ln(y)$

iff $x = \sinh(2 \operatorname{arcsinh}(y)) = 2y \cosh(\operatorname{arcsinh}(y)) = 2y\sqrt{1+y^2}$ iff $x^3 = y^2$.

6. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, solve the following equation (x being the unknown):

$$\left(\cosh(x) + \sinh(x)\right)^{\operatorname{arcsinh}(x-a)} = \left(\cosh(x) - \sinh(x)\right)^{\operatorname{arcsinh}(x-b)}.$$

For one $x \in \mathbb{R}$, x is the solution of this equation $iff \exp(x \operatorname{arcsinh}(x-a)) = \exp(-x \operatorname{arcsinh}(x-a))$, so $iff x (\operatorname{arcsinh}(x-a) + \operatorname{arcsinh}(x-a)) = 0$, so iff x = 0 or $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$.

7. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, show that, if $x = \ln(\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{y}{2}\right))$, then: $\tanh\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \tan\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)$, $\tanh(x) = \sin(y)$ and $\cosh(x) = \frac{1}{\cos(y)}$.

- For the first one, $\tanh\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{e^x 1}{e^x + 1} = \frac{\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{y}{2}\right) + 1}{\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{y}{2}\right) 1} = \tan\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)$ as wanted.
- For the second one, $\tanh(x) = \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{y}{2}\right) \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{y}{2}\right) = -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + y\right) = \sin(y).$
- For the last one, $\cosh(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{y}{2}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{y}{2}\right) \right)$ by definition of x in function of y, and so $\cosh(x) = \frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + y\right)} = \frac{1}{\cos(y)}$.

8. Simplify the following expressions:

(i)
$$\arctan(\tan\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)) = -\pi/6.$$

- (ii) $\arctan(\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)) = \arctan(1/2)$ which cannot be simplified I think. A numerical approximation is $\arctan(1/2) \simeq 0.463647$.
- (iii) $\arctan(\cos\left(-\frac{\pi}{6}\right)) = \arctan(\sqrt{3}/2)$ which cannot be simplified I think. A numerical approximation is $\arctan(\sqrt{3}/2) \simeq 0.71372$.

9. Study these expressions (one could try to look for some trick):

(1) For
$$\operatorname{arccos}\left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}\right) + \operatorname{arcsin}\left(\frac{2t}{1+t^2}\right)$$
 (where $t \in \mathbb{R}$), we can use the change of variable $t = \tan(\frac{u}{2})$, for $u \in (-\pi, \pi)$.
Then $\left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}\right) = \cos(u)$ and $\left(\frac{2t}{1+t^2}\right) = \sin(u)$.
So the sum is $\operatorname{arccos}\left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}\right) + \operatorname{arcsin}\left(\frac{2t}{1+t^2}\right) = \operatorname{arccos}(\cos(u)) + \operatorname{arcsin}(\sin(u))$.
But $-\pi < u < \pi$, so $\operatorname{arccos}(\cos(u)) = u$ if $u \ge 0$ and $= u + 2\pi$ if $u < 0$ (remember that $\operatorname{arccos}: [-1, 1] \to [0, 2\pi]$ by convention).
And $\operatorname{arcsin}(\sin(u)) = u$, so finally the sum is $\operatorname{arccos}\left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}\right) + \operatorname{arcsin}\left(\frac{2t}{1+t^2}\right) = 2u = 4 \arctan t$.
(2) For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, with $xy/=1$, $\operatorname{arctan}\left(\frac{x+y}{1-xy}\right) - \operatorname{arctan}(x) - \operatorname{arctan}(y) = k\pi$, with $k = 0$ if $xy < 1$, $k = 1$ if $xy > 1$, $x > 0$ and $k = -1$ if $xy < 1$ and $a < 0$.
Let $a = \operatorname{arctan}(x)$, $b = \operatorname{arctan}(y)$. So $\tan(a + b) = \frac{\tan(a) + \tan(b)}{1-\tan(a)\tan(b)} = \frac{x+y}{1-xy}$.
Finally, to conclude, we just need to observe that :
• $a + b \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ if $xy < 1$,
• $a + b \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ if $xy > 1$, and $x < 0$,

• $a + b \in (-\pi, -\pi/2)$ if xy > 1, and x > 0.

For more details on this formula, which will be used in other exercices just below, please go read https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inverse_trigonometric_functions#Arctangent_addition

10. Solve these equations.

<u>Remark</u>: here we write $x = y \mod z$ (for three real numbers x, y, z), which means that there is an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that x = y + kz.

(1) $\tan(3 \arcsin(x)) = 1$ for $x \in [-1, 1]$.

First, study which values are not allowed: x can be a solution if $3 \arcsin(x) \neq \frac{\pi}{2} \mod \pi$. But $3 \arcsin(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} \mod \pi$ iff $\arcsin(x) \in \left\{\frac{-3\pi}{2}, \frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right\}$ and so iff $x \in \left\{-1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}$. So let $x \in (-1, 1) \setminus \left\{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Then $\tan(3 \arcsin(x)) = 1$ iff $3 \arcsin(x) = \frac{\pi}{4} \mod \pi$ iff $\arcsin(x) = \frac{\pi}{12} \mod \pi$ iff $\arcsin(x) \in \left\{\frac{-\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{12}, \frac{5\pi}{2}\right\}$. Finally, we conclude by $\tan(3 \arcsin(x)) = 1$ iff $x \in \left\{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right), \sin\left(\frac{5\pi}{12}\right)\right\}$, which cannot be simplified more I think.

- (2) $\arctan(x) + \arctan(2x) = \frac{\pi}{5}$ iff $\tan(\arctan(x) + \arctan(2x)) = 1$. But we know that $\arctan(a) + \arctan(b) = \arctan\left(\frac{a+b}{1-ab}\right)$ (for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $ab \neq 1$), so in this case, x is a solution iff $\frac{3x}{1-2x^2} = 1$ so by solving this quadratic equation, and as x > 0, we conclude that the only possible solution is $x = \frac{\sqrt{17}-1}{4}$.
- (3) In order to solve $\arctan\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{q-p}{q+p}\right) = \frac{\pi}{5}$ (with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ verifying $0), we will use the formula for a sum like <math>\arctan(a) + \arctan(b)$.

So let $a = \frac{p}{q}$ and $b = \left(\frac{q-p}{q+p}\right)$. We have a, b > 0. And ab = <1 because a < 1, b < 1. So:

$$\arctan\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{q-p}{q+p}\right) = \arctan\left(\frac{\frac{p}{q} + \frac{q-p}{q+p}}{1 - \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q-p}{q+q}\right)}\right)$$
$$= \arctan\left(\frac{p(q+p) + q(q-p)}{q(q+p) - p(q-p)}\right)$$
$$= \arctan\left(\frac{p^2 + q^2}{p^2 + q^2}\right) = \arctan(1) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$
$$\arctan\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{q-p}{q+p}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

So the equation has no solution, because $\arctan\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{q-p}{q+p}\right)$ is always $\pi/2$, so cannot be $\pi/5$.

11. Compute these sums:

(1) Quickly: $\arctan(1) + \arctan(2) + \arctan(3) = \arctan(1) + \arctan\left(\frac{2+3}{1-2\times3}\right) + \pi = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4}$

$$\arctan\left(\frac{5}{-5}\right) + \pi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi = \pi,$$

(2) With more details:

$$\arctan(2) + (\arctan(5) + \arctan(8)) = \arctan(2) + (\arctan\left(\frac{5+8}{1-5\times 8}\right) + \pi)$$

Because if x = 5, y = 8, x, y > 0, but xy > 1.

$$\arctan(2) + \arctan(5) + \arctan(8) = \arctan(2) + \arctan\left(-\frac{13}{39}\right) + \pi$$
$$= (\arctan(2) + \arctan\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)) + \pi$$
$$= + \arctan\left(\frac{2 \times 3 - 1}{3 + 2}\right) + \pi$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{4} + \pi$$
$$\arctan(2) + \arctan(5) + \arctan(8) = \frac{5\pi}{4}.$$

Remark 9. We used twice the formula proved previously: $\arctan(a) + \arctan(b) = \arctan\left(\frac{a+b}{1-ab}\right) + k\pi$, true for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $ab \neq 1$, with k = 0 if ab < 1, k = 1 if ab > 1, a > 0 and k = -1 if ab < 1 and a < 0.

- 12. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in (0, \pi)$, let $x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$.
 - (a) To prove ∏ⁿ_{k=1} sin(x_k) ≤ (sin(x))ⁿ, we apply log on both sides, and prove that ln(∏ⁿ_{k=1} sin(x_k)) ≤ ln((sin(x))ⁿ). Let g : (0,π) → ℝ, t → ln(sin(t)). g is twice differentiable, and g'(t) = cos(t)/sin(t) and g''(t) = -1 cos²(x)/sin²(x) < 0 on (0,π). So g'' < 0 implies that g is (strictly) concave on its domain. And thanks to the generalized concavity inequality (with n points), we have then g (x1 + ··· + xn/n) ≥ g(x1) + ··· + g(xn)/n, so with the definition of this special point x, we conclude that ln(sin(x)) ≥ ln(sin(x1)) + ··· + ln(sin(xn))/n. Hence, by applying the *increasing* function exp on both sides, we conclude that ∏ⁿ_{k=1} sin(x_k) ≤ (sin(x))ⁿ, as wanted.
 (b) To prove ∏ⁿ_{k=1} sin(x_k) ≤ (sin(x)/x)ⁿ, we do exactly the same, but with the function g₂ : (0,π) → ℝ, t → ln((sin(t)/x)), which is also concave.

Indeed,
$$g_2'(t) = \cot(t) - \frac{1}{t}$$
 and $g_2''(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} - \cot^2(t) - 1$ so $g_2''(t) < 0$ on $(0, \pi)$.

13. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$, show that $xy \leq e^x + y(\ln(y) - 1))$. Prove also that the inequality is an equality (ie, the equality holds) if and only if $y = e^x$. Let y > 0 be a fixed real number, and $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto e^x + y(\ln(y) + 1) - xy$. f is of class \mathcal{C}^1 on \mathbb{R} , and $f'(x) = e^x - y$ on \mathbb{R} .

So f' is negative on $(-\infty, \ln(y))$, positive on $(\ln(y), +\infty)$, and zero at $\ln(y)$.

Hence f is decreasing on $(-\infty, \ln(y))$, increasing on $(\ln(y), +\infty)$, and zero¹³ at $\ln(y)$.

So we can conclude that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f(x) \ge 0$, and f(x) = 0 iff $x = \ln(y)$ (iff $y = e^x$).

Therefore we conclude that the inequality is true for every $x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0$, and the equality holds iff $y = e^x$.

¹³Indeed, $f(\ln(y)) = y + y \ln(y) - y - \ln(y)y = 0$. In fact, f has a global minimum at that point $x = \ln(y)$, and this fact directly implies the inequality we wanted!