## 4 Lesson 4

### 4.1 Stochastic Processes

### 4.1.1 Relation between $\gamma$ and the mobility $\mu$

Where $\gamma^{-1}=\mu$
Let's look on a stochastic process $\vec{v}(t)$. Let's define a (1D) relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=\int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} v\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is well defined (thanks to Ito calculus). We, therefore, can write the correlation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{2}(t)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{t^{\prime \prime}} \underbrace{\left\langle v\left(t^{\prime}\right) v\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\rangle}_{\text {new correlation } K_{v v}\left(t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\xi \xi}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\underbrace{\left\langle\xi(t) \xi\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle}_{\tau^{*}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can:
Anticipate the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \gg \tau, \quad\left\langle r^{2}(t)\right\rangle=6 D t \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the correlation time of the velocity, $K_{v v}\left(t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau=\frac{m}{\gamma}$ is different than $\tau * \rightarrow 0$.
Show that (as in the last week)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=v(0) \mathrm{e}^{-t / \tau}+\mathrm{e}^{-t / \tau} \frac{1}{m} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{t^{\prime} / \tau} \xi\left(t^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the correlation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle v(t+s) v(t)\rangle \simeq v^{2}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-(2 t+s) / \tau}+\mathrm{e}^{-(2 t+s) / \tau} \frac{1}{m^{2}} C_{\xi \xi} \int_{0}^{t+s} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{e}^{\left(t^{\prime}+t^{\prime \prime}\right) / \tau} \delta\left(t^{\prime}-t^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

using $K_{\xi \xi}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=C_{\xi \xi} \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle v(t+s) v(t)\rangle \xrightarrow{t \gg \tau} \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}{m} \mathrm{e}^{|s| / \tau}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{\xi \xi}=2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T \gamma \quad(D=1)  \tag{4.8}\\
\tau=\frac{m}{\gamma}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{2}(t)\right\rangle=\stackrel{t \rightarrow \infty}{ } t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s\left\langle v\left(t^{\prime}+s\right) v\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \equiv t C_{v v}=2 D t \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when we plug $C_{v v}=2 \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}{m} \tau$, we get the Einstein relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}{\gamma} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{v v}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s\langle v(s) v(0)\rangle=2 \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}{\gamma} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.1.2 Correlation Functions

Following the previous discussion, we have three correlation functions:

1. The Noise Correlation Function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mu}=\gamma=\frac{1}{2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s\langle\xi(s) \xi(0)\rangle \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes the white noise;
2. The Velocity Correlation Function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{1}{2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s\langle v(s) v(0)\rangle \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is derived from the noise, but has different correlation argument;
3. The Diffusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s\langle v(s) v(0)\rangle \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.1.3 Nyquist theorem - Kubo formula

Let's look on the following circuit:

which is described by the simple formula

$$
L \frac{\mathrm{~d} I}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\underbrace{V_{\text {ext }}}_{\text {"gravity" }}-R I(t)+\underbrace{V^{\prime}(t)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { underlying }  \tag{4.15}\\
\text { stochastic process }
\end{array}}
$$

such that the noise is thermal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle I\rangle=\frac{1}{R} V_{\mathrm{ext}} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the resistance of the stochastic process ${ }^{1}$ abides

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s\left\langle V^{\prime}(t+s) V^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle=\frac{C_{V V}(0)}{2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can write a correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{V V}(\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega s}\left\langle V^{\prime}(s) V^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle \simeq 2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T R . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the NyQuist-Johnson theorem ${ }^{2}$.
We can also write it in terms of the conductance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R}=G=\frac{1}{2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s\langle I(t+s) I(t)\rangle \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is usually more convenient to write it in terms of the conductivity $\sigma$, where $G=\sigma L^{d-2}$ (using $R=\rho \frac{L}{S}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{1}{2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} s\langle j(s) j(0)\rangle \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the Kubo formula ${ }^{3}$.
Corollary. Any time we have some "viscosity", we must seek the noise.
Remark. When we have several sources of noise (classical), each characterized by its own viscosity $\eta_{i}$, then we can add all of them linearly $H=\sum_{i} \eta_{i}$ (The Mathison rule). In quantum case it is not true: due to entanglement we cannot separate them (this is the quantum mesoscopic physics).

### 4.2 Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem (FDT) - Linear Response

Idea \& objective: Formalize all the previous results.

### 4.2.1 Basic Idea

Each time we have a system out of equilibrium we can express its properties (viscosity, etc.) using the properties of the system in equilibrium (correlation function). This result is attributed to Callen \& Welton (PR, 1951) ${ }^{4}$.

The basic idea behind (OnsAgER regression hypothesis, 1930): If you take a system out of equilibrium, in order to return back to equilibrium there will be fluctuations. However, there isn't any difference between those fluctuations and the fluctuations at equilibrium.

The derivation of Callen \& Welton is quantum mechanical, but it ought not to be so.

[^0]
### 4.2.2 Classical description

1. Hamiltonian Mechanics. Let there be a system $S$ and microscopic states (point in phase space) $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2} \ldots p_{n}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2} \ldots q\right\} \equiv(p, q)$.
At $t=0$ we have $(p(0), q(0))$. The state of $S$ at time $t$ is completely determined by initial conditions $+\mathcal{H}(p(0), q(0))$, where we have defined the time evolution $\mathcal{T}_{t}:(p(t), q(t))=\mathcal{T}_{t}(p(0), q(0))$. We also assume statistical mechanics: the microstates of $S$ at equilibrium are distributed with

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(p, q)=\frac{1}{Q} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}(p, q)}, \quad Q=\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}(p, q)} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Time Setup. $t \rightarrow-\infty$.

S is perturbed $\rightarrow$ new equilibrium at $t=0$ characterized by $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(p, q)$. At $t=0$ turn off the perturbation $\mathcal{H}(p, q)$. Relaxation: physical variable $A(p, q)$.
3. Linear response: Perturbation is weak enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}+\Delta \mathcal{H} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathcal{H}=-f A \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f$ is the perturbing field. With this definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{\partial F}{\partial A} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F$ is the free energy.
Let's solve. At $t=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(p, q)=\frac{1}{Q^{\prime}} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(p, q)} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the relaxation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle=\frac{1}{Q^{\prime}} \int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(p, q)} A(p, q) . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $t>0$, we have $f \rightarrow 0$ and the system $S$ evolves with $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A(t)\rangle=\frac{1}{Q^{\prime}} \int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(p, q)} A\left(\mathcal{T}_{t}(p, q)\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we expand to the 1 st order (because $f$ is small)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A(t)\rangle \simeq \frac{\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}(1-\beta \Delta \mathcal{H}) A\left(\mathcal{T}_{t}(p, q)\right)}{\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}(1-\beta \Delta \mathcal{H})} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some algebra,

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle A(t)\rangle & \simeq \frac{\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} A\left(\mathcal{T}_{t}(p, q)\right)}{\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}}+\beta f \frac{\int \mathrm{~d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} A(p, q) A\left(\mathcal{T}_{t}(p, q)\right)}{\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}} \\
& -\beta f \frac{\int \mathrm{~d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} A(p, q)}{\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}} \frac{\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} A\left(\mathcal{T}_{t}(p, q)\right)}{\int \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}} \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A(t)\rangle \simeq\langle A(t)\rangle_{0}+\beta f\left(\langle A(0) A(t)\rangle_{0}-\langle A\rangle_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle_{0}$ is equilibrium w.r.t. $\mathcal{H}$. The last term in the equation is because equilibrium at 0 is equal to equilibrium at $t$.

Let us define $\delta A(t)=A(t)-\langle A\rangle_{0}$. We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta A=\langle A(t)\rangle-\langle A(t)\rangle_{0}=\beta f\langle\delta A(0) \delta A(t)\rangle_{0} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is another form of the Fluctuation Dissipation Theorem.

### 4.2.3 Generalize

Let's take some perturbation $f(t)$ (not constant). Using the same calculation, we'd get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta A(t)=\int \mathrm{d} t^{\prime} \chi\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) f\left(t^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the relaxation at time $t$ is related to all the relaxations (possible equilibrium correlations) at all the times prior to $t$. But, we cannot drive the system with times $t^{\prime}>t$ (causality). Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=0 @ t^{\prime}>t \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The equipartition assumption we use is due to the kinetic term in the Hamiltonian, $\frac{1}{2} L\left\langle I^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} k_{\mathrm{B}} T$, similarly to the mechanical $\frac{1}{2} m\left\langle v^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} k_{\mathrm{B}} T$.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is true only in the classical case; in the quantum case the formulae break, since $T \rightarrow 0$.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the quantum case, we have the same formula without the $\frac{1}{2 k_{\mathrm{B}} T}$ factor, after deriving the Kubo formula using another source of noise (not thermal).
    ${ }^{4}$ Before that, Einstein (1905), Nyquist (1928).

