Ph.D. Qualifying Exam – Spring 2002

First problem

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function. Let E be the set of points $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f'_{+}(x) = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h > 0}} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h < 0}} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

both exist and are different. Prove that E is countable.

Solution

Let

 $\mathbf{F} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f'_+(x), f'_-(x) \text{ exist and } f'_+(x) > f'_-(x) \right\}$

and

$$\mathbf{G} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \ \Big| \ f'_+(x), f'_-(x) \text{ exist and } f'_+(x) < f'_-(x) \right\}$$

 $E = F \cup G$

so that

We will show first that F is countable. If $x \in F$, since the inequality $f'_+(x) > f'_-(x)$ is strict, the interval $(f'_-(x), f'_+(x))$ is nonempty and thus contains a rational q. Because it is open, there is a positive ω such that $(q - \omega, q + \omega) \subset (f'_-(x), f'_+(x))$. Thus

$$\mathbf{F} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ \omega > 0}} \mathbf{F}_{q,\omega}$$

where $F_{q,\omega} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f'_+(x,), f'_-(x) \text{ exist and } f'_+(x) > q - \omega > q + \omega > f'_-(x)\}$ Fix a rational number q and let x_0 be in $F_{q,\omega}$ for some positive ω . That is, $x \in [1]$

Fix a rational number q and let x_0 be in $F_{q,\omega}$ for some positive ω . That is, $x \in \bigcup_{\omega>0} F_{q,\omega}$. Consider the auxiliary function

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \qquad g(x) = f(x) - qx$$

Then $g'_+(x_0)$ and $g'_-(x_0)$ both exist since $x \mapsto qx$ is differentiable at x_0 , and we have

$$g'_{+}(x_{0}) = f'_{+}(x_{0}) - q \qquad g'_{-}(x_{0}) = f'_{-}(x_{0}) - q$$
$$g'_{+}(x_{0}) > \omega > -\omega > g'_{-}(x_{0})$$
(1)

so that

This implies that g has a strict local minimum at x_0 . Indeed, the existence of $g'_+(x_0)$ and $g'_-(x_0)$ tell us that there exists a positive η such that

$$\forall h \in (0,\eta) \qquad \frac{g(x_0+h) - g(x_0)}{h} - g'_+(x_0) > -\frac{\omega}{2}$$
(2)

and

$$\forall h \in (-\eta, 0) \qquad \frac{g(x_0 - h) - g(x_0)}{h} - g'_{-}(x_0) < \frac{\omega}{2}$$
(3)

From (1) and (2)
$$\forall h \in (0, \eta)$$
 $g(x_0 + h) - g(x_0) > h\left(g'_+(x_0) - \frac{\omega}{2}\right) > \frac{h\omega}{2} > 0$
while from (1) and (3) $\forall h \in (-\eta, 0)$ $g(x_0 + h) - g(x_0) > h\left(g'_-(x_0) + \frac{\omega}{2}\right)$
 $> -\frac{h\omega}{2} > 0$

Notice how there was a change in the direction of inequality (3) when multiplying both sides by h, due to the fact that h < 0. Anyhow, we obtained

$$\forall h \in (-\eta, \eta) \quad h \neq 0 \qquad g(x_0 + h) - g(x_0) > 0$$

which confirms that x_0 is a strict minimum for g in $(x_0 - \eta, x_0 + \eta)$. Of course, there exists an integer n big enough so that $(x_0 - \frac{1}{n}, x_0 + \frac{1}{n}) \subset (-\eta, \eta)$. Therefore, we showed that

$$\left(\bigcup_{\omega>0} \mathcal{F}_{q,\omega}\right) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}} \mathcal{M}_n \tag{4}$$

where $M_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid g \text{ has a strict minimum at } x \text{ in } \left(x - \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}$

Finally, we show that each M_n is countable. If x and y are in M_n , such that $|x-y| < \frac{1}{n}$, we know that, unless x = y, we have

$$g(x) < g(y)$$
 and $g(y) < g(x)$

which is impossible. Thus if x and y are distinct in M_n , they are at least at distance $\frac{1}{n}$ apart. Which proves that M_n is countable. By (4), $\bigcup_{\omega>0} F_{q,\omega}$ is countable, and therefore F is countable. Applying this result to -f, we get that G is countable as well. Therefore,

E is countable.

Second problem

Consider functions $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2(0, 1)$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} f$ and $g_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} g$ weakly in $L^2(0, 1)$.

- 1. Show that the L² norms of the $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$'s are uniformly bounded.
- 2. Show by example that $(f_n g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ need not converge to fg in the weak star topology of $L^1(0, 1)$.
- 3. Suppose $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and h are in $L^1(0,1)$ and that the L^1 norms of the $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly bounded. Show that $h_n \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} h$ in the weak star topology of L^1 if and only if each Fourier coefficient of h_n converges to the corresponding Fourier coefficient of h.
- 4. Suppose that the Fourier coefficients of the $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$'s and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$'s are 0 at negative integers. Show that $f_n g_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} fg$ in the weak star topology of $L^1(0, 1)$.

Solution

1 This is a consequence of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, as well as the fact that $L^2(0,1)$ is its own dual, through Riesz's representation theorem for Hilbert spaces.

Indeed, the $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ define a collection of bounded linear functionals on $L^2(0,1)$ as follows:

$$\varphi_n:g\longmapsto \langle f_n|g\rangle$$

and

In particular

$$\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\| = \sup_{\substack{g \in \mathcal{L}^{2} \\ \|g\|=1}} \left(\varphi, g\right) = \sup_{\substack{g \in \mathcal{L}^{2} \\ \|g\|=1}} \left\langle f_{n} | g \right\rangle = \left\|f_{n}\right\|$$

Furthermore, the $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge weakly, which means in particular that the sequence $(\langle f_n | g \rangle)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded for every g. Since $L^2(0,1)$ is complete, the principle of uniform boundedness tells us that the sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. It follows that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.

2 Let $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ $f_n : x \longmapsto e^{-2\pi i n x}$ and $g_n : x \longmapsto e^{2\pi i n x}$

The Riemann-Lebesgue lemma tells us that

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(0,1) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} f_n h = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} g_n h = 0$$
$$\forall h \in \mathscr{C}([0,1]) \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} f_n h = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} g_n h = 0$$

so $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ both converge to 0 in the weak-* topology of $L^1(0,1)$. But

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \qquad f_n g_n = 1$$

so $(f_n g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 1 in the weak-* topology of $L^1(0, 1)$.

3 Suppose $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^1(0,1)$, let h be another L^1 function.

First, if $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to h in the weak-* topology of $L^1(0,1)$, then in particular,

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \widehat{h_n}(p) = \int_0^1 h_n(t) \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi \mathrm{i} p t} \, \mathrm{d} t \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \int_0^1 h(t) \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi \mathrm{i} p t} \, \mathrm{d} t = \widehat{h}(p) \tag{1}$$

since the functions $t \mapsto e^{-2\pi i p t}$ are continuous on [0, 1].

Conversely, suppose that (1) holds. Then because integrating and taking limits are linear operations, we get

$$\forall g \in \mathscr{P} \qquad \int_{[0,1]} h_n g \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \int_{[0,1]} hg$$

Here, \mathscr{P} is the set of 1-periodic trigonometric polynomials. Let f be any continuous function such that f(0) = f(1). We know that \mathscr{P} is dense in the set of such functions (the convolutions $K_n \star f$ converge to f uniformly, where K_n is the *n*-th Fejér kernel), so if ϵ is a positive number, there exists a trigonometric polynomial g such that $||f - g||_{\infty} < \epsilon$. Now, we have for every integer n:

$$\left| \int_{[0,1]} (h_n - h) f \right| \leq \left| \int_{[0,1]} (h_n - h) (f - g) \right| + \left| \int_{[0,1]} (h_n - h) g \right|$$

$$\leq \|h_n - h\|_1 \|f - g\|_{\infty} + \left| \int_{[0,1]} (h_n - h) g \right|$$
(2)

Because the sequence $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, we can find a positive real number M such that

 $\|h\|_1 \leq \mathbf{M}$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ $\|h_n\|_1 \leq \mathbf{M}$

And because g is a trigonometric polynomial,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} (h_n - h)g = 0$$

Therefore, taking the limsup in (2) yields

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \int_{[0,1]} (h_n - h)g \right| \leq 2M\epsilon$$

This holds for every positive ϵ . Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} h_n f = \int_{[0,1]} h f$$

Finally, if we want to show something similar for functions f that are continuous, but don't satisfy the endpoint condition f(0) = f(1), we can proceed as in the 2003 exam,

problem 5: first let f be the indicator function of an interval and approximate it by functions satisfying the endpoint condition. Then use the fact that linear combinations of indicators of intervals are dense in $\mathscr{C}([0, 1])$.

 $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to h in the weak- \star topology of L¹.

4 We go back to the initial situation: $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are sequences in $L^2(0, 1)$, converging weakly respectively to f and g. We suppose that the $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$'s and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$'s have their Fourier coefficients equal to 0 at negative integers. Then f (and g) have the same property since

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \widehat{f}(p) = \int_0^1 f(t) \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi \mathrm{i} p t} \,\mathrm{d} t = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(t) \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi \mathrm{i} p t} \,\mathrm{d} t = \lim_{n \to \infty} \widehat{f}_n(p) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{3})$$

Define

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ $h_n = f_n g_n$ and h = fg

As we saw in the first question, there exists a positive number M such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \|f_n\|_2, \|g_n\|_2 \leqslant M$$

Therefore
$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \|h_n\|_1 \leqslant \|f_n\|_2 \|g_n\|_2 \leqslant M^2$$

Since $h_n = f_n g_n$, its Fourier coefficients are obtained as the convolution of the Fourier coefficients of f_n and g_n :

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \widehat{h_n}(p) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f_n}(k) \, \widehat{g_n}(p-k) = \sum_{k=0}^p \widehat{f_n}(k) \, \widehat{g_n}(p-k) \tag{4}$$

and if
$$p < 0$$
, $\widehat{h_n}(p) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f_n}(k) \, \widehat{g_n}(p-k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{f_n}(k) \, \underbrace{\widehat{g_n}(p-k)}_{=0} = 0$

Similarly
$$\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 $\widehat{h}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < 0\\ \sum_{k=0}^{p} \widehat{f}(k) \, \widehat{g}(p-k) & \text{if } p \ge 0 \end{cases}$ (5)

Because of (3), and because (4) and (5) only involve finite sums, it follows that

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \widehat{h_n}(p) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \widehat{h}(p)$$

Using the result from the third question,

 $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to h in the weak-* topology of $L^1(0,1)$.

Third problem

Consider a \mathscr{C}^{∞} function $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an integer k such that $f^{(k)}(x) = 0$. Let

 $U = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is a polynomial in a neighbourhood of } x \}$

- 1. Prove that U is a dense open subset of \mathbb{R} .
- 2. Prove that the complement of U contains no isolated points.

Solution

1 We first show that U is open. Let $x_0 \in U$. Then, by definition of U, there exists a positive ϵ and a polynomial function P such that f = P on $I = (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon)$.

If x is any point in that interval, letting $r = |x - x_0|$, the interval $(x - (\epsilon - r), x + (\epsilon - r))$ is included in I, on which f = P. Thus f is a polynomial in a neighbourhood of x, which shows that x is in U as well, and therefore $I \subset U$:

Next we show that U is dense in \mathbb{R} . Let O be a nonempty open set in \mathbb{R} . Because every point x in \mathbb{R} is such that $f^{(k)}(x) = 0$ for some k, we have

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_k$$

where

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \mathbf{F}_k = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f^{(k)}(x) = 0 \right\}$$

Therefore

Then

By Baire's lemma, there exists a k such that $\overline{O} \cap F_k$ has nonempty interior. This interior is actually $O \cap \overset{\circ}{F_k}$.

 $\overline{\mathcal{O}} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\overline{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathcal{F}_k \right)$

Remember indeed that $Int(A \cap B) = \overline{\circ}A \cap \overline{\circ}B$. The similar property with unions, however, is false. Take for example

$$A = \mathbb{Q} \qquad B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \qquad \mathring{A} = \emptyset \qquad \mathring{B} = \emptyset$$
$$\mathring{A} \cup \mathring{B} = \emptyset \qquad \text{while} \qquad \operatorname{Int}(A \cup B) = \mathring{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$$

Similarly, for all sets A and B, we have $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} = \overline{A \cup B}$, but the property with the intersections is false in general.

So there is an open ball $\mathscr{B}(x_0,\epsilon)$ in $O \cap \overset{\circ}{F}_k$. Then in particular

$$\forall x \in (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon) \qquad f^{(k)}(x) = 0$$

Since f is obtained by multiple integrations of $f^{(k)}$, it follows that f is a polynomial of degree at most k-1 in $(x_0-\epsilon, x_0+\epsilon)$. Which proves that $x_0 \in U$, so that $O \cap U$ is not empty. Every open subset of \mathbb{R} intersets U nontrivially, or in other words,

U is dense in
$$\mathbb{R}$$
.

2 We first show that if (a, b) is an open interval contained in U, then f is a polynomial in (a, b). For this, let $x_0 \in (a, b) \subset U$. Then we know that for some positive ϵ , there exists a polynomial function P such that f = P on $(x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon)$. Let

$$M = \sup \{ x \in (x_0, b) \mid f = P \text{ in } (x_0, x) \}$$

 $\epsilon \leq \mathbf{M} \leq b$

Then

and we will show that M is actually equal to b. Suppose it is not the case. Then we know that f = P on (x_0, M) and $M \in (a, b) \subset U$. Therefore, there exists a positive η and a polynomial Q such that f = Q on $(M - \eta, M + \eta)$. But then

$$\forall x \in (\mathbf{M} - \eta, \mathbf{M}) \qquad \mathbf{P}(x) - \mathbf{Q}(x) = f(x) - f(x) = 0$$

P-Q is a polynomial with infinitely many zeroes, so it has to be the 0 polynomial: P=Q. It follows that f = P on $(x_0, M + \eta)$, which contradicts the definition of M. Thus M = b.

Similarly, we would show that

Inf
$$\{x \in (a, x_0) \mid f = P \text{ in } (x, x_0)\} = a$$

It follows that f = P on all of (a, b).

Now, going back to the question asked. Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ is isolated. Then there exists a positive ϵ such that $(x_0 - \epsilon, x_0)$ and $(x_0, x_0 + \epsilon)$ sit entirely inside U. From what we just showed, there are polynomials P and Q such that

$$\forall x \in (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0) \qquad f(x) = P(x)$$

$$\forall x \in (x_0, x_0 + \epsilon) \qquad f(x) = Q(x)$$

and

Let k be the biggest number of deg(P) and deg(Q). Then $P^{(k+1)} = 0$ and $Q^{(k+1)} = 0$. As a consequence,

$$\forall x \in (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon) \setminus \{x_0\}$$
 $f^{(k+1)}(x) = P^{(k+1)}(x) \text{ or } Q^{(k+1)}(x) = 0$

Because $f^{(k+1)}$ is continuous at x_0 , it follows that $f^{(k+1)}(x_0) = 0$ as well. So $f^{(k+1)} = 0$ on the whole interval $(x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon)$. By integrating k + 1 times, it follows that f is a polynomial function in that interval. Thus x_0 is in U, which gives us a contradication.

The complement of U has no isolated points.

Fourth problem

Prove the inequality

$$\forall a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \qquad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \neq m}} \frac{a_n}{n - m} \right|^2 \leqslant \pi^2 ||a||^2$$

Show also that π^2 cannot be replaced by a smaller constant.

Solution

Let f be the 1-periodic function coinciding with $x \mapsto x - \frac{1}{2}$ on (0, 1) and let's compute its Fourier coefficients. Obviously, since f is symmetric around the point $\frac{1}{2}$, we'll have $\widehat{f}(0) = 0$. So let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ nonzero and integrate by parts:

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \int_0^1 \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx = \int_0^1 x e^{-2\pi i n x} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{-2\pi i n} \left[x e^{-2\pi i n x}\right]_0^1 + \frac{1}{2\pi i n} \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i n x} dx$$
$$\widehat{f}(n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i n}$$

Let a be any sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and let g be the $L^2(0,1)$ function whose Fourier coefficients are the terms of the sequence a:

$$g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \mathbf{e}_n \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_n : x \longmapsto \mathbf{e}^{2\pi \mathbf{i} n x}$$

We know that fg is $L^2(0,1)$ (since f is bounded), whose Fourier coefficients are obtained by convolution of f and g's coefficients:

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \widehat{fg}(m) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \, \widehat{f}(m-n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \neq m}} \frac{a_n}{m-n}$$

And Parseval's formula tells us that

$$\|fg\|_2^2 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left|\widehat{fg}\right|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left|\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{a_n}{m - n}\right|^2$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \neq n}} \frac{a_n}{m - n} \right|^2 = 4\pi^2 ||fg||_2^2 \leqslant 4\pi^2 ||f||_\infty^2 ||g||_2^2 = \pi^2 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2$$

To show that the inequality is sharp, it is enough to show that

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{L}^2 \qquad \|fg\|_2^2 \leqslant \frac{\|g\|_2^2}{4}$$

is optimal. All we have to do is find a sequence of $L^2(0,1)$ functions $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ that will pick up the value $\frac{1}{4}$, which is the supremum of f^2 on (0,1). So let

$$g_n = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\frac{1}{n})} + \mathbb{1}_{(1-\frac{1}{n},1)} \right)$$
$$|g_n|^2 = \frac{n}{2} \left(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\frac{1}{n})} + \mathbb{1}_{(1-\frac{1}{n},1)} \right)$$
$$||g_n||_2^2 = 1$$

Then

so that

Now, we also have, using the fact that f^2 and g_n^2 are symmetric around the line $x = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\|fg_n\|_2^2 = 2\int_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]} f^2g_n^2 = n\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}x = \frac{n}{3}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{8}\right) = \frac{1}{3n^2} - \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{4}$$

This tends to $\frac{1}{4}$ as n goes to ∞ .

Fifth problem

Let K be an L¹ function on the unit square $[0, 1]^2$. Suppose that for every continuous function f on [0, 1], we have

$$\int_{[0,1]} \mathcal{K}(x,y) f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \qquad \text{for almost every } x$$

Prove that K = 0 almost everywhere.

Solution

Denote the unit square $[0,1]^2$ by Q and consider the subspace \mathscr{F} of $\mathscr{C}(\mathbf{Q})$ spanned by functions of the type

$$(x,y)\longmapsto g(x)f(y)\qquad f,g\in \mathscr{C}([0,1])$$

It is easy to check that a product of finite linear combinations of such functions is still a finite linear combination of such functions. Thus \mathscr{F} is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{C}([0,1])$. It separates points, since if (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) are distinct points in Q, they are separated by the function $(x, y) \longmapsto (x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2$. Also, \mathscr{F} contains the constant function 1. Therefore, \mathscr{F} is dense in $\mathscr{C}(Q)$.

Now, let f and g be any two continuous functions on [0, 1]. Since K is $L^1(Q)$,

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \mathbf{K}(x, y) g(x) f(y) \right| dy dx \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \|g\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{K}\|_{1} < \infty$$

and therefore $\int_{Q} \mathbf{K}(x,y)g(x)f(y)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda(x,y) = \int_{0}^{1} g(x) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{K}(x,y)f(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\right)\,\mathrm{d}x = 0$

by Fubini and by hypothesis on K. By linearity,

$$\forall f \in \mathscr{F} \qquad \int_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{K} f = 0$$

But

$$\|\mathbf{K}\|_{1} = \sup_{\substack{f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbf{Q})\\\|f\|_{\infty} = 1}} \int_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{K}f = \sup_{\substack{f \in \mathscr{F}\\\|f\|_{\infty} = 1}} \int_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{K}f$$

since \mathscr{F} is dense in $\mathscr{C}(\mathbf{Q})$. Thus $\|\mathbf{K}\|_1 = 0$, and

Sixth problem

Show that there do not exist measurable sets A and B with positive measure such that $A \cap (B - r) = \emptyset$ for every rational number r.

Solution

Suppose that such sets exist. We might as well assume that A and B have positive finite measure, by intersecting them with a big enough compact set. Then $\mathbb{1}_A$ and $\mathbb{1}_B$ are both L^2 functions, and $\mathbb{1}_A \star \mathbb{1}_{-B}$ is continuous.

This is a remarkable property of the convolution of ${\rm L}^2$ functions. Indeed, if f and g are ${\rm L}^2,$ then

$$\left| f \star g(x+h) - f \star g(h) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \left(g(x+h-y) - g(x-y) \right) \mathrm{d}y \right|$$
$$\leq \|f\|_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| g(y-h) - g(y) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and we know that translations are continuous on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ so the right handside tends to 0.

Another way of seing it is using the Fourier transform:

$$\widehat{f \star g} = \widehat{f}\,\widehat{g}$$

But the Fourier transform is an isometry of L² onto itself, therefore $\widehat{f \star g}$ is L¹. So $f \star g$, as the inverse Fourier transform of an L¹ function, is continuous.

In fact, more generally, the convolution of an L^p and an $L^{p'}$ function yields a continuous function.

We have
$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$
 $\mathbb{1}_{A} \star \mathbb{1}_{-B}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{1}_{A}(y) \mathbb{1}_{-B}(x-y) \, dy$

But $\mathbb{1}_{A}(y)\mathbb{1}_{-B}(x-y)$ is 1 if and only if

$$\begin{cases} y \in \mathbf{A} \\ x - y \in -\mathbf{B} \end{cases} \quad \text{that is} \quad y \in \mathbf{A} \cap (x + \mathbf{B})$$

and is 0 otherwise. Therefore, $\mathbb{1}_A \star \mathbb{1}_{-B}$ is 0 at every rational number. But this function is continuous, and rationals are dense in \mathbb{R} , therefore

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{A}} \star \mathbb{1}_{-\mathbf{B}}(x) = 0$$

However $\left\| \mathbb{1}_{A} \star \mathbb{1}_{-B} \right\|_{1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{1}_{A}(y) \mathbb{1}_{-B}(x-y) \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x = \mu(A)\mu(B) \neq 0$

We have our contradiction.

Seventh problem

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Lebesgue measurable functions on [0, 1]. Let

$$\mathbf{E} = \left\{ x \in [0,1] \mid \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x) \text{ converges} \right\}$$

Show that for every positive ϵ , there is a set F and an integer k such that

- F is in the ring of sets generated by sets of the form $f_i^{-1}(A)$ for $i \leq k$ and A Borel;
- $m(E\Delta F) < \epsilon$.

Solution

Using the fact the a sequence of real or complex numbers converges if and only if it is Cauchy, we see that

$$\mathbf{E} = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}} \bigcup_{\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{n \geqslant \mathbf{N}} \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=n}^{n+m} f_k \right| < \frac{1}{p} \right\}$$

For every integers N, n and m, we let

$$\mathcal{A}_{p,n,m} = \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=n}^{n+m} f_k \right| < \frac{1}{p} \right\}$$

Notice that $A_{p,n,m}$ is in the σ -algebra generated by sets of the form $f_i^{-1}(B)$ where $i \leq n+m$ and B Borel.

In case you are not sure anymore why this is true, here is a way to do it. Let f and g be two measurable functions, let a be any real number. Then one checks easily that

$$\{f + g < a\} = \{f < a - g\} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \left(\{f < r\} \cap \{g < a - r\}\right)$$

which shows that $\{f + g < a\}$ is in the σ -algebra generated by sets of the form $f^{-1}(B), g^{-1}(B)$ with B Borel. Inductively, this generalizes to sums of an arbitrary number of functions.

For every pair of integers K and M, we let

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{M}} = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}} \bigcup_{\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{n=\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{K}} \bigcap_{m=0}^{\mathbf{M}} \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=n}^{n+m} f_k \right| < \frac{1}{p} \right\}$$

This set is in the σ -algebra generated by the $f_i^{-1}(B)$ for B Borel and $i \leq K + M$.

It can probably be checked that $\mathbb{1}_{E_{K,M}}$ converges pointwise to $\mathbb{1}_E$ as K and M tend to ∞ . Moreover, all the $E_{K,M}$'s are dominated by the constant function equal to 1, which is integrable on [0, 1]. By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{K,M\to\infty} \mathbb{1}_{E_{K,M}} = \mathbb{1}_E \quad \text{in } L^1(0,1)$$

or in other words, $0 = \lim_{K,M\to\infty} \left\| \mathbb{1}_{E_{K,M}} - \mathbb{1}_{E} \right\|_{1} = \lim_{K,M\to\infty} \mu \left(E\Delta E_{K,M} \right)$

So if ϵ is a positive real number, just take K and M big enough, let $F = E_{K,M}$, so that

$\mu(E\Delta F)$:	$\leqslant \epsilon$
--------------------	----------------------

Eighth problem

Let $C \in (0, 1)$. Show that there are numbers δ_N , depending on C, with the following properties:

• If A_1, \ldots, A_N are measurable sets in [0, 1] each with measure C, then

$$m(\mathbf{A}_i \cap \mathbf{A}_j) \ge (1 - \delta_{\mathbf{N}})\mathbf{C}^2$$

for some $i \neq j$;

• $\delta_{N} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0.$

Solution

Let N be a positive integer and A_1, \ldots, A_N be measurable sets in [0, 1], each with measure C. Consider the function $F = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{A_n}$. Then

$$\mathbf{F}^{2} = \sum_{m,n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{A}_{n}} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{A}_{m}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{A}_{n}} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{m=1\\m \neq n}}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{A}_{n} \cap \mathbf{A}_{m}}$$

and

$$\int_{[0,1]} \mathbf{F}^2 = \mathbf{NC} + \sum_{\substack{n=1\\m \neq n}}^{\mathbf{N}} \sum_{\substack{m=1\\m \neq n}}^{\mathbf{N}} m \left(\mathbf{A}_n \cap \mathbf{A}_m \right)$$

Now let i and j be distinct and such that

$$m(\mathbf{A}_i \cap \mathbf{A}_j) = \operatorname{Max} \left\{ m(\mathbf{A}_n \cap \mathbf{A}_m) \mid m \neq n \right\}$$

Then

 $\mathrm{NC} + \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}-1)m(\mathrm{A}_i \cap \mathrm{A}_j) \geqslant \int_{[0,1]} \mathrm{F}^2 \geqslant \left(\int_{[0,1]} \mathrm{F}\right)^2 = \mathrm{N}^2 \mathrm{C}^2$ This last step is just Hölder's inequality applied to $F = F \times 1$. Anyhow, it follows that

and
$$m(\mathbf{A}_i \cap \mathbf{A}_j) \ge \frac{\mathbf{N}^2 \mathbf{C}^2 - \mathbf{N}\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N} - 1)} = \frac{\mathbf{N} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{C}}}{\mathbf{N} - 1} \mathbf{C}^2$$

so take
$$\delta_{\rm N} = \frac{1-{\rm C}}{{\rm NC}-{\rm C}}$$

Ninth problem

Suppose the Banach space X is uniformly convex. That is, for every positive ϵ , there exists a positive δ such that

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{X} \qquad \left(\|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| > 1-\delta \right) \implies \|x-y\| \leqslant \epsilon$$

Let f be a bounded linear functional on X with norm 1. Show that there is a unique point $x \in X$ with ||x|| = 1 such that f(x) = 1.

Solution

Since f has norm 1, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the unit sphere of X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} (f, x_n) = 1$ $(\mathbf{1})$

Let ϵ be a positive real number; uniform convexity of X provides us with a δ such that

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbf{X} \qquad \left(\|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| > 1 - \delta \right) \implies \|x-y\| \leqslant \epsilon$$

And (1) provides us with a positive integer N such that

$$\forall n \ge \mathbb{N}$$
 $1 - \delta < (f, x_n)$

Th

nus
$$\forall n \ge \mathbb{N} \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N} \quad 1 - \delta < \left(f, \frac{x_n + x_{n+p}}{2}\right)$$

 $\left\|\frac{x_n + x_{n+p}}{2}\right\| \ge 1 - \delta$ which implies in turn that

Therefore
$$\forall n \ge \mathbb{N} \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N} \quad ||x_{n+p} - x_n|| \le \epsilon$$

The sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, and therefore converges to some x. Of course,

$$||x|| = 1$$
 and $(f, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (f, x_n) = 1$

Now let $y \in X$ satisfy this same property:

$$||y|| = 1$$
 and $(f, y) = 1$

Then

Then
$$\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \ge \left(f, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) = 1$$

which implies, by uniform convexity, that $||x - y|| \leq \epsilon$ for every positive ϵ .

There exists a unique
$$x \in X$$
 such that $(f, x) = 1$.

Tenth problem

- 1. Let μ be a finite measure on \mathbb{R} and let ν be the measure with density e^{-x^2} with respect to μ . Show that the Fourier transform of ν is the restriction to \mathbb{R} of an entire function F.
- 2. Express the *n*-th derivative $F^{(n)}(0)$ in terms of μ .
- 3. Show that the set

$$\mathbf{S} = \left\{ p(x) \, \mathrm{e}^{-x^2} \, \big| \, p \text{ polynomial} \right\}$$

is dense in $\mathscr{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$, the space of continuous functions tending to 0 at $\pm \infty$.

Solution

1 For every complex number z, define

$$\mathbf{F}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mathbf{i}zx} \, \mathrm{d}\nu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2 - \mathbf{i}zx} \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)$$

Since the function $x \mapsto e^{-x^2 + x \text{Im}z}$ is continuous and tends to 0 at $\pm \infty$, it is bounded by some M > 0 and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-x^2 - izx} \right| d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2 + x \operatorname{Im} z} d\mu(x) \leq M \|\mu\| < \infty$$

Therefore, F is well defined at every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now, let γ be any closed curve in \mathbb{C} . Since γ is compact, there exists a positive number C such that

$$\forall z \in \gamma \qquad \mathrm{Im} z \leqslant \mathrm{C}$$

Therefore
$$\int_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-x^2 - izx} \right| d\mu(x) d|z| \leq \int_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2 + Cx} d\mu(x) d|z| \leq F(C) \text{length}(\gamma) < \infty$$

which allows us to Fubinise the double integral

$$\int_{\gamma} \mathbf{F}(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-x^2} \int_{\gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}xz} \, \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = 0$$

since $z \mapsto e^{-ixz}$ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of γ . Since this holds for every closed curve γ , F is analytic in \mathbb{C} . And of course, the restriction to \mathbb{R} of F is the Fourier transform of ν .

 $\hat{\nu}$ is the restriction to \mathbb{R} of an entire function.

In the next question, we will see another strategy that could have been used to solve that question, and gives us at the same time the derivatives of F at 0. I simply wanted to present both methods because each of them is interesting and worth seeing at least once.

2 The function $x \mapsto e^{|zx|-x^2}$ is continuous and tends to 0 at $\pm \infty$, thus is bounded. We let M > 0 be such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \mathrm{e}^{|zx| - x^2} \leqslant \mathrm{M}$$

 $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|zx|^n}{n!} \mathrm{e}^{-x^2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{|zx| - x^2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant \mathbf{M} \|\mu\| < \infty$

Thus

which allows us, thanks to Fubini, to compute as we wish the double integral:

$$F(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2 - izx} d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(-izx)^n}{n!} e^{-x^2} d\mu(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{z^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-ix)^n e^{-x^2} d\mu(x)$$

This gives us a power series expansion of F around zero. In particular, we get for free the derivatives of F at 0:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 $\mathbf{F}^{(n)}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\mathbf{i}x)^n \mathbf{e}^{-x^2} d\mu(x)$

Of course, this is the method you would use during the exam, since it overkills questions 1 and 2.

3 Let
$$S = \{p(x)e^{-x^2} \mid p \text{ polynomial}\}$$

and let μ be a finite measure on \mathbb{R} that vanishes on S:

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{X}] \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x) \mathrm{e}^{-x^2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = 0$$
$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\mathrm{i}x)^n \,\mathrm{e}^{-x^2} \,\mathrm{d}\mu = 0$$

In particular

Hence, by questions 1 and 2, the Fourier transform of $e^{-x^2} d\mu$ is 0. And, by injectivity of the Fourier transform, this measure itself is 0:

$$\forall f \in \mathscr{C}_c(\mathbb{R}) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-x^2} d\mu(x) = 0$$

Now, if f is continuous with compact support, $x \mapsto f(x)e^{x^2}$ is also continuous with compact support and as a consequence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(f(x) e^{x^2} \right) e^{-x^2} d\mu = 0$$

So μ vanishes on $\mathscr{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$. This set is dense in $\mathscr{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$, so μ also vanishes there and it follows that $\mu = 0$. Therefore,

S is dense in
$$\mathscr{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$$
.