# The atomic nucleus : A natural laboratory of complexity

Raphaël-David Lasseri

 $4, \ July \ 2017$ 

Institut de Physique Nucléaire, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay

Definitions The atomic nucleus Complexity Description(s) Generalities Many-body techniques State-of-the-art descriptions Problems Beyond Mean Field Approaches Conclusions We are still there Outlook

# Definitions

#### A building block of matter



- Small and dense region at the center of the Atom
- Inferred by Rutherford
- "Made" of protons and neutrons

# A building block of matter ?



- Small and dense region at the center of the Atom
- Inferred by Rutherford
- "Made" of protons and neutrons

#### A building block of matter



- Small and dense region at the center of the Atom
- Inferred by Rutherford
- "Made" of protons and neutrons

What are the correct d.o.f to describe it ?

A quantum-many-body system:

- Sensitive to 3 fundamental interactions (EM,W,S)
- Composed of non-elementary fermions
- Strongly correlated system of <u>finite</u> size



A quantum-many-body system:

- Sensitive to 3 fundamental interactions (EM,W,S)
- Composed of non-elementary fermions
- Strongly correlated system of <u>finite</u> size



A quantum-many-body system:

- Sensitive to 3 fundamental interactions (EM,W,S)
- Composed of non-elementary fermions
- Strongly correlated system of <u>finite</u> size



A quantum-many-body system:

- Sensitive to 3 fundamental interactions (EM,W,S)
- Composed of non-elementary fermions
- Strongly correlated system of <u>finite</u> size



#### The nucleus is a complex system

#### Consequences:

- No systematic analytical treatment of the problem.
- A wide variety of phenomena.

# Complexity – A challenging description

#### Consequences:

- No systematic analytical treatment of the problem.
- A wide variety of phenomena.

#### Deformation:



# Complexity – A challenging description

# Consequences:

- No systematic analytical treatment of the problem.
- A wide variety of phenomena.

Clustering:

# Complexity – A challenging description

#### Consequences:

- No systematic analytical treatment of the problem.
- A wide variety of phenomena.

Superfluidity:

#### Ground-State:

- Energy (Separation)
- Radii



#### Ground-State:

- Energy (Separation)
- Radii



#### Excited-states:

- Energies (Spectroscopy)
- Electric moments



#### Excited-states:

- Energies (Spectroscopy)
- Electric moments



Description(s)



- A scaling problem
- Treatment of the many-body problem
- Description of the interaction



- A scaling problem
- Treatment of the many-body problem
- Description of the interaction



- A scaling problem
- Treatment of the many-body problem
- Description of the interaction



- A scaling problem
- Treatment of the many-body problem
- Description of the interaction

We need a compromise !

# The quantum Many-body problem

- Exact
- Ab-initio
- EDF



#### Summary: Explicit treatment of the total wave-function

•  $\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi$ 

- Very accurate with a true interaction.
- Extremly coastly and heavy (Power-law scaling)



# The quantum Many-body problem

- Exact
- Ab-initio
- EDF

=	=	=	+ 03   1 > 3	++01/0/6-	$\equiv$	÷+

2-narticle 2-hole

Summary: Explicit treatment of truncated total wave-function

• 
$$\Psi = \sum_{I} c_{I} \Phi_{I}$$

- Predictive with an effective interaction
- Very coastly and heavy (Combinatorial scaling)



# The quantum Many-body problem

- Exact
- Ab-initio
- EDF



Summary: Mean-field like treatment of the wave-function

•  $[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] = 0$ 

- Almost universal, but uses a phenomenological interaction
- Quite computationaly easy (Polynomial scaling)



# Energy Functionals – A Phenomenological approach

#### An example Relativistic Mean Field Theory (RMF)



# Energy Functionals – A Phenomenological approach

#### An example Relativistic Mean Field Theory (RMF)



#### Interpretation in term of mesons exchange



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\textit{int}} &= \mathbf{g}_{\sigma} \overline{\psi} \sigma \psi + \mathbf{g}_{\omega} \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} \psi + \\ \mathbf{g}_{\rho} \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \rho^{\vec{\mu}} \cdot \vec{\tau} \psi + \mathbf{g}_{\pi} \overline{\psi} \gamma_{5} \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau} \psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \hat{T}_{i,j} + \hat{V}_{eff}$$



# State-of-the-art descriptions

Some "observable" problems



# Correlations

Some "observable" problems



 $\label{eq:odd-even} \begin{array}{l} \text{Odd-even staggering} \Rightarrow \text{Pairing} \\ \text{correlations} \end{array}$ 



#### Symmetry breaking:



- Capture additional correlations
- For any sym. group. (U(1),O(3),etc...)

#### Symmetry breaking:



# And projection:

• Integrate over the configurations

• 
$$\hat{P}^A = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\psi(\hat{N}-A)} d\psi$$

- Capture additional correlations
- For any sym. group. (U(1),O(3),etc...)



#### Symmetry breaking:



# And projection:

• Integrate over the configurations

• 
$$\hat{P}^A = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\psi(\hat{N}-A)} d\psi$$

- Capture additional correlations
- For any sym. group. (U(1),O(3),etc...)



Kind of pairs

$$\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{|\Psi_0\rangle} = \prod_k \left( u_k + v_k \underbrace{a_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{-k,\downarrow}^{\dagger}}_{\text{Pair creation}} \right) \underbrace{\hat{P}^A |\Psi_0\rangle}_{\text{Sym. Broken}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{N!} (\Gamma_{\tau}^{\dagger})^N |0\rangle}_{\text{Sym. Restored}}$$

13/22

How is a pair localized ?

How is a pair localized ?

<u>Motivations</u>

- Better understanding of pairing impact on the structural properties
- Are the pairs a good d.o.f ?
- Important for experimental studies<sup>1</sup>

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{R.}$  Subedi et al., Science 320 (2008) 1476

#### Reparametrization



 $\hat{O}(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \boxed{\text{Talmi transformation}} \rightarrow \hat{O}(r_{\text{cm}}, r_{\text{rel}})$ 

High numerical cost !

#### Insight on the structure

Two useful variables:

 $\kappa(r_1, r_2) = \sum_k u_k v_k \psi_k(r_1) \gamma^0 \psi_{\bar{k}}(r_2)$ 



#### Insight on the structure

Two useful variables:

$$\Psi(r_1, r_2) = \sum_k \frac{u_k}{v_k} \psi_k(r_1) \gamma^0 \psi_{\bar{k}}(r_2)$$



#### **Coherence Lengths**

#### Defined as

 $\sqrt{\frac{\int dr_{\rm rel} r_{\rm rel}^4 \hat{O}(r_{\rm cm}, r_{\rm rel})}{\int dr_{\rm rel} r_{\rm rel}^2 \hat{O}(r_{\rm cm}, r_{\rm rel})}}$ 





# **Results and applications**

#### Isotopic study



# **Results and applications**

#### Isotopic study



# **Results and applications**

#### Isotopic study



Conclusions

New powerfull tools to study spatial correlations

- Accurate reproduction of physical properties (Pauli blocking, saturation ...)
- A step toward a better understanding of experimental observables.
- Impact of restoration techniques on nuclear structure.

Nuclear physics is an active research field !

Major intrinsic open subjects:

- Theoretical link between QCD and N-N Interaction
- Systematic and simultaneous restorations
- New (non-spurious) many-body techniques.
- Precise study of correlations

Nuclear physics is an active research field !

Major intrinsic open subjects:

- Theoretical link between QCD and N-N Interaction
- Systematic and simultaneous restorations
- New (non-spurious) many-body techniques.
- Precise study of correlations



Detection of Majorana neutrinos

- Precise study of double-beta decays
- Dependence on nuclear reactions knowledge



# **Cross-fertilizing topics**

# Lorentz-symmetry $breaking^2$



- Very strong dependance on nuclear structure knowledge
- Major cosmological impact

<sup>2</sup>H.Pians-Le Bars, C. Guerlin, **R-D.L**, J-P. Ebran, Q.G. Baily, S.Bize, E.Khan, P.Wolf **Phys.Rev.D** 95,075026

Questions

# Thank you !

